



Significant partnerships 2020/21

Adoption Central England

1. Pro	oject outline	
1.1	Name and job title of the link	Regional Adoption Agency – Adoption Central England (ACE)
	officer within Herefordshire	Hilary Brooks – AD Safeguarding and Family Support
	Council (completing this form)	
1.2	Date self assessment	21/05/2021
	completed	
1.3*	Name of partnership	Regional Adoption Agency – Adoption Central England (ACE)
1.4	Directorate	Childrens and Families
1.5*	Type of partnership: What	Statutory partnership (required by law)
	best describes the status of	If other please describe:
	the partnership?	
	If other please provide details	
1.6*	Web address for further	https://www.aceadoption.com/
	reference	
1.7*	Email address for further	https://www.aceadoption.com/contact-us
	information	
	rpose and accountability	
2.1	Are there terms of reference?	yes
2.2	Were the terms of reference	don't know
	updated in 2020/21?	
2.3	Is the vision, aims and	yes
	objectives clearly set out in	
	the terms of reference or	
	elsewhere?	
	If elsewhere where?	
2.4	Is there a partnership	yes
	agreement in place providing	
	legal documentation?	
2.5	Which predominant council	Community
	priority does the partnership	
	meet?	
2.6	Please list all other	Coventry City Council – Paul Smith Strategic Lead LAC
	organisations involved in the	Solihull MBC – Frank McShaffery - Assistant Director
	partnership	Worcestershire Children's First – Maria White Assistant
		Director
		Warwickshire County Council – John Coleman Assistant
		Director Horofordshire Council Hilary Brooks Assistant Director
2.7	Which council members and	Herefordshire Council – Hilary Brooks Assistant Director
2.7	officers are on the	Hilary Brooks Assistant Director
2.8	partnership? Is it documented what	voc
2.0		yes
	authority the partnership has	

	to take decisions and the	
	scope of that authority?	
2.9	Are the roles and	yes
2.5	responsibilities of members	yes
	clear and documented?	
2.10	Where relevant, who is the	Add free text
2.10	accountable body?	Add free text
2.11	Where does the partnership	Executive Board Quarterly
2.11	report to, and how	Corporate Parenting Board/Cabinet/Scrutiny Committee as
	frequently?	requested
2.12	Any affiliated or subsidiary	Choose an item.
	groups or partnerships?	
	If yes please specify.	No
Notes or	n purpose and accountability inclu	ding areas of risk:
	ision Making	
3.1	Is there a clear and	yes
3.1	documented process for	763
	decision making?	
3.2	Are the decisions of the	yes
	partnership recorded?	
3.3	Are decisions of the	no
	partnership publicised?	
3.4	Are members financially and	yes
	reputational accountable to	
	the decisions made?	
Notes or	n Decision Making including areas	of risk:
4. Fina		
4.1	How is the partnership	Coventry City Council 23.2%
	funded?	Solihull MBC 10.7%
		Warwickshire County Council 27.2%
		Worcestershire County Council 27.3%
		Herefordshire Council 11.6%
4.2	What was the total value of	2020/21: free text
	council funding / investment	
	for the financial year?	
4.3	What is the nature of the	revenue
	council funding?	
	If other please outline.	
4.4	Does the partnership have	yes
	documented financial	
	procedures?	
4.5	Does the partnership produce	yes
	an annual statement of	
	accounts?	
	n Finance including areas of risk:	
		Agreement ACE Lead Manager is responsible for ensuring
•	and procedures are followed. ACE	is hosted by Warwickshire County Council
	duct and behaviour	
5. Con 5.1		yes

	T	T
5.2	If so are there effective	yes
	employment policies and	
	practices in place?	
5.3		ies and procedures in place to deal with:
	Standards of conduct	yes
	Complaints	yes
	Whistleblowing	yes
	Declarations of interest	yes
	Gifts and hospitality	yes
Notes o	n Conduct and Behaviour including	g areas of risk:
All emp	loyees are due to be TUPE'd to Wa	arwickshire County Council from 1 March 2020. Effective
	ment practices are in place	,
	bility	
6.1	Are clearly documented rules /	yes
0.1	exit strategies in place if one	765
	partner leaves or is	
	partnership terminated?	
6.2	Is there limit of indemnity on	don't know
0.2	the partnership liability	don't know
	insurance (if relevant)?	
6.3	1	don't know
0.3	Is there a risk of liability on the	don't know
	council for the e.g.	
	accountable body, decision	
	makers, financial liability	
NI - I	solely or jointly?	
	n Liability including areas of risk:	
	formance, evaluation and valu	
7.1	Is performance reported to	yes
	the partnership on a regular	
	basis?	
7.2	Does the partnership produce	yes
	an annual report covering	
	performance?	
7.3	Has the partnership defined	yes
	outcomes that are measured?	
7.4	In the past year has there	no
	been any inspections, audits	
	or reviews?	
7.5	Is there a review of value for	yes
	money e.g. the resources used	
	create the outputs required?	
7.6	Does the partnership have a	yes
	process for risk management?	
7.7	How often is the risk register	each quarter
	reviewed?	
7.8	Key changes and risks within	Free text
	the partnership in 2020/21	
	months	
7.9	Outcomes of note in 2020/21	Free text
	months	
7.10	Key plans for 2021/22	Free text
	n Performance, Evaluation and Va	
INDIES	ni i ci ioinnance, Evaluation allu va	ide merdamig areas of risk.

8. Overview assessment completed by Director

Once the self-assessment is completed please use the points below to document the considered risk level.

Directorate or division in Corporate Centre			Childrens and Families
As director or assistant director of the Corporate Centre I have reviewed			21/05/2021
the content of the self-assessment the following risk level.			
Risk level Any co			nment
Purpose and accountability	low		
Decision making	low		
Finance	low		
Conduct and behaviour	low		
Liability	low		
Performance, evaluation and review	low		
Overall assessment	low		

Date of return	21/05/2021
Status assessment	fully complete
Version	2020-21 v1

Children & Young People's Partnership

1. Pro	ject outline	
1.1	Name and job title of the link	Richard Watson, Children's Joint Commissioning Manager
	officer within Herefordshire	
	Council (completing this form)	
1.2	Date self assessment	28/04/2021
	completed	
1.3*	Name of partnership	Children & Young People's Partnership
1.4	Directorate	Childrens and Families
1.5*	Type of partnership: What	non-statutory partnership
	best describes the status of	If other please describe:
	the partnership?	
	If other please provide details	
1.6*	Web address for further	https://www.herefordshire.gov.uk/council/children-young-
	reference	peoples-partnership
1.7*	Email address for further	CYPpartnership@herefordshire.gov.uk
	information	
2. Pur	pose and accountability	
2.1	Are there terms of reference?	yes
2.2	Were the terms of reference	yes
	updated in 2020/21?	
2.3	Is the vision, aims and	yes
	objectives clearly set out in	
	the terms of reference or	
	elsewhere?	
	If elsewhere where?	And in the CYP Plan
2.4	Is there a partnership	no
	agreement in place providing	
	legal documentation?	
2.5	Which predominant council	Community
	priority does the partnership	
	meet?	
2.6	Please list all other	Herefordshire Council - Director, Children and Families
	organisations involved in the	Clinical Commissioning Group – Managing Director
	partnership	HVOSS – Chief Executive
		West Mercia Youth Offending Services – Head of Service
		West Mercia Police – Policing Commander, Herefordshire
		Local Policing Area
		Wye Valley Trust – Managing Director
		Healthwatch – Children's Lead
		Early year's Sector representative
		Gloucestershire Health and Care NHS Foundation Trust Chief
		Executive
		Secondary School representative
		Primary School representative
		College representative
		Special School representative
		Economic Partnership Development Group representative

		Chair, Herefordshire Safeguarding Children Board
2.7	Which council members and	Cabinet member - children and families
	officers are on the	Director for children and families
	partnership?	Assistant Director for safeguarding and family support
	·	Assistant Director for education development and skills
		Assistant Director childrens safeguarding quality and
		improvement
2.8	Is it documented what	n/a
	authority the partnership has	
	to take decisions and the	
	scope of that authority?	
2.9	Are the roles and	n/a
	responsibilities of members	
	clear and documented?	
2.10	Where relevant, who is the	Not applicable
	accountable body?	
2.11	Where does the partnership	Health & Wellbeing Board
	report to, and how	
	frequently?	
2.12	Any affiliated or subsidiary	yes
	groups or partnerships?	
	If yes please specify.	H&W CYP mental health strategy group
		Early Years partnership
		SEND Strategy Group
		Earl Help Strategy Group
Notes o	n purpose and accountability incl	uding areas of risk:
3. Dec	ision Making	
3.1	Is there a clear and	n/a
	documented process for	
	decision making?	
3.2	Are the decisions of the	n/a
	manto analain ma aa nda d2	
3.3	partnership recorded?	
	Are decisions of the	n/a
5.5		n/a
3.4	Are decisions of the	n/a
	Are decisions of the partnership publicised?	
	Are decisions of the partnership publicised? Are members financially and	
3.4	Are decisions of the partnership publicised? Are members financially and reputational accountable to	n/a
3.4 Notes o	Are decisions of the partnership publicised? Are members financially and reputational accountable to the decisions made? n Decision Making including areas	n/a
3.4 Notes o	Are decisions of the partnership publicised? Are members financially and reputational accountable to the decisions made? n Decision Making including areas	n/a s of risk:
3.4 Notes o	Are decisions of the partnership publicised? Are members financially and reputational accountable to the decisions made? In Decision Making including areas require, formal decisions are taken	n/a s of risk:
3.4 Notes o Where i	Are decisions of the partnership publicised? Are members financially and reputational accountable to the decisions made? In Decision Making including areas require, formal decisions are taken	n/a s of risk: en through individual partner governance as appropriate
3.4 Notes o Where i	Are decisions of the partnership publicised? Are members financially and reputational accountable to the decisions made? In Decision Making including areas require, formal decisions are taken ance How is the partnership	n/a s of risk: en through individual partner governance as appropriate Not directly funded – partners coordinate activities through
3.4 Notes o Where i	Are decisions of the partnership publicised? Are members financially and reputational accountable to the decisions made? In Decision Making including areas require, formal decisions are taken ance How is the partnership	n/a s of risk: en through individual partner governance as appropriate Not directly funded – partners coordinate activities through normal budgets and occasional external grant funding led by
Notes o Where i 4. Fina 4.1	Are decisions of the partnership publicised? Are members financially and reputational accountable to the decisions made? n Decision Making including areas require, formal decisions are taken ance How is the partnership funded?	n/a s of risk: en through individual partner governance as appropriate Not directly funded – partners coordinate activities through normal budgets and occasional external grant funding led by a single partner as the accountable grant body
Notes o Where i 4. Fina 4.1	Are decisions of the partnership publicised? Are members financially and reputational accountable to the decisions made? In Decision Making including areastequire, formal decisions are taken ance How is the partnership funded? What was the total value of	n/a s of risk: en through individual partner governance as appropriate Not directly funded – partners coordinate activities through normal budgets and occasional external grant funding led by a single partner as the accountable grant body
Notes o Where i 4. Fina 4.1	Are decisions of the partnership publicised? Are members financially and reputational accountable to the decisions made? In Decision Making including areas require, formal decisions are taken ance How is the partnership funded? What was the total value of council funding / investment	n/a s of risk: en through individual partner governance as appropriate Not directly funded – partners coordinate activities through normal budgets and occasional external grant funding led by a single partner as the accountable grant body
Notes o Where i 4. Fina 4.1	Are decisions of the partnership publicised? Are members financially and reputational accountable to the decisions made? n Decision Making including areas require, formal decisions are taken ance How is the partnership funded? What was the total value of council funding / investment for the financial year?	n/a s of risk: en through individual partner governance as appropriate Not directly funded – partners coordinate activities through normal budgets and occasional external grant funding led by a single partner as the accountable grant body Not applicable
Notes o Where i 4. Fina 4.1	Are decisions of the partnership publicised? Are members financially and reputational accountable to the decisions made? In Decision Making including areast require, formal decisions are taken ance How is the partnership funded? What was the total value of council funding / investment for the financial year? What is the nature of the	n/a s of risk: en through individual partner governance as appropriate Not directly funded – partners coordinate activities through normal budgets and occasional external grant funding led by a single partner as the accountable grant body Not applicable
Notes o Where i 4. Fina 4.1	Are decisions of the partnership publicised? Are members financially and reputational accountable to the decisions made? In Decision Making including areas require, formal decisions are taken ance How is the partnership funded? What was the total value of council funding / investment for the financial year? What is the nature of the council funding?	n/a s of risk: en through individual partner governance as appropriate Not directly funded – partners coordinate activities through normal budgets and occasional external grant funding led by a single partner as the accountable grant body Not applicable Choose an item.

4.4	Does the partnership have	no
'''	documented financial	
	procedures?	
4.5	Does the partnership produce	no
	an annual statement of	
	accounts?	
Notes or	Finance including areas of risk:	
110103 01	Trinding merading dread or risk	
5. Con	duct and behaviour	
5.1	Does the partnership have any	no
	employees?	
5.2	If so are there effective	n/a
	employment policies and	
	practices in place?	
5.3	Does the partnership have polici	es and procedures in place to deal with:
	Standards of conduct	no
	Complaints	no
	Whistleblowing	no
	Declarations of interest	no
	Gifts and hospitality	no
Notes or	Conduct and Behaviour including	g areas of risk:
Individua	al partners follow their own polici	es
6. Liab	ility	
6.1	Are clearly documented rules /	no
	exit strategies in place if one	
	partner leaves or is	
	partnership terminated?	
6.2	Is there limit of indemnity on	n/a
	the partnership liability	
	insurance (if relevant)?	
6.3	Is there a risk of liability on the	no
	council for the e.g.	
	accountable body, decision	
	makers, financial liability	
	solely or jointly?	
	Liability including areas of risk:	
		ned where pooled or grant funding is involved, such as the S75
agreeme		
	ormance, evaluation and value	
7.1	Is performance reported to	yes
	the partnership on a regular	
7.0	basis?	
7.2	Does the partnership produce	no
	an annual report covering	
7.0	performance?	
7.3	Has the partnership defined	yes
7.4	outcomes that are measured?	
7.4	In the past year has there	no
	been any inspections, audits or reviews?	
	or reviews:	

7.5	Is there a review of value for money e.g. the resources used create the outputs required?	no
7.6	Does the partnership have a process for risk management?	no
7.7	How often is the risk register reviewed?	n/a
7.8	Key changes and risks within the partnership in 2020/21 months	A lack of programme support creates a risk that the partnership's business is not properly planned ahead and that it does not have a clear enough picture of what is going well or what needs additional oversight.
7.9	Outcomes of note in 2020/21 months	See last update from Priority Champions
7.10	Key plans for 2021/22	TBC

Notes on Performance, Evaluation and Value including areas of risk:

The children and young people plan and associated action plans describe key objectives and outcomes to be achieved. Individual partners and priority leads provide progress updates to the partnership board. However, these updates often focus more on actions that are being delivered rather than the difference that is being made for children, young people and families at either the individual or strategic level. The partnership and its board does not have any dedicate resources to support its business, including work that would be useful to evidence and communicate the impact it is having.

8. Overview assessment completed by Director

Once the self-assessment is completed please use the points below to document the considered risk level.

Directorate or division in Corporate Centre			Childrens and Families
As director or assistant director of the Corporate Centre I have reviewed			21/05/2021
the content of the self-assessment the following risk level.			
Risk level		Any con	nment
Purpose and accountability	low		
Decision making	low		
Finance	low		
Conduct and behaviour	low		
Liability	low		
Performance, evaluation and review	low		
Overall assessment	low		

Date of return	21/05/2021
Status assessment	fully complete
Version	2020-21 v1

Herefordshire Community Safety Partnership (HCSP)

1. Proj	ect outline	
1.1	Name and job title of the link	Steve Berry - Partnership Manager
	officer within Herefordshire	
	Council (completing this form)	
1.2	Date self assessment	26/04/2021
	completed	
1.3*	Name of partnership	Herefordshire Community Safety Partnership (HCSP)
1.4	Directorate	Childrens and Families
1.5*	Type of partnership: What	Statutory partnership (required by law)
	best describes the status of	If other please describe:
	the partnership?	
	If other please provide details	
1.6*	Web address for further	https://herefordshiresafeguardingboards.org.uk/
	reference	and https://www.herefordshire.gov.uk/community-1/safer-
		<u>stronger-communities</u>
1.7*	Email address for further	adrian.turton@herefordshire.gov.uk or
	information	Stephen.Berry@herefordshire.gov.uk
	pose and accountability	
2.1	Are there terms of reference?	yes
2.2	Were the terms of reference	no
	updated in 2020/21?	
2.3	Is the vision, aims and	yes
	objectives clearly set out in	
	the terms of reference or	
	elsewhere?	
	If elsewhere where?	
2.4	Is there a partnership	no
	agreement in place providing	
	legal documentation?	
2.5	Which predominant council	Community
	priority does the partnership	
	meet?	
2.6	Please list all other	Statutory partners; West Mercia Police, Herefordshire and
	organisations involved in the	Worcestershire Fire and Rescue Service, Herefordshire and
	partnership	Worcestershire Clinical Commissioning Group, National
		Probation Service. Plus West Mercia Youth Justice Service as
		non-statutory member. Office of Police and Crime
		Commissioner is involved in a mutual agreement to combat
2.7	Which coursell as such as a set	crime and disorder.
2.7	Which council members and	Cllr Ange Tyler (Chair of HCSP) as cabinet member for
	officers are on the	community safety
	partnership?	Director of Children and Families (TBC)
		Director of Adults and Communities (TBC)
		Director of Public Health (TBC) Head of Community Commissioning & Possuress (Adult and
		Head of Community, Commissioning & Resources (Adult and
		Communities) (Ewen Archibald) Assistant Director for Pagulatory Environment and Waste
		Assistant Director for Regulatory Environment and Waste

		(M. Willimont)
		Partnership Team: Partnership Manager
2.8	Is it documented what authority the partnership has to take decisions and the scope of that authority?	yes
2.9	Are the roles and responsibilities of members clear and documented?	yes
2.10	Where relevant, who is the accountable body?	Herefordshire Council as set out in Crime and Disorder Act 1998
2.11	Where does the partnership report to, and how frequently?	HCSP Board. Scrutiny role as set out in Crime and Disorder Act is Herefordshire General Overview and Scrutiny Panel (NB did not go to scrutiny) in 2020. Herefordshire Council Cabinet considers and included in HCSP Strategy consultation. Strategy reviewed every three years.
2.12	Any affiliated or subsidiary groups or partnerships? If yes please specify.	yes Strategically linked with Herefordshire Safeguarding Children Partnership and Herefordshire Safeguarding Adults Board as share domestic abuse, adult and child exploitation, and substance misuse as strategic concerns.

Notes on purpose and accountability including areas of risk:

- Regarding 2.4 Legal basis is in Crime and Disorder Act 1998 outlining statutory requirements
- Regarding 2.8 & 2.9 outlined in Crime and Disorder Act 1998 outlining statutory requirements
- Risk HCSP not presented to General Overview and Scrutiny panel for several years.
- HCSP Terms of Reference and HCSP Strategy

3. Decision Making		
3.1	Is there a clear and	yes
	documented process for	
	decision making?	
3.2	Are the decisions of the	yes
	partnership recorded?	All meetings are minuted
3.3	Are decisions of the	yes
	partnership publicised?	
3.4	Are members financially and	yes
	reputational accountable to	
	the decisions made?	

Notes on Decision Making including areas of risk:

- a. strategy publicised
- 3.4 Council Officers and members are required to be diligent and follow due process = reputation accountable

decountable			
4. Fin	4. Finance		
4.1	How is the partnership funded?	Mainly in kind ie partners give time for function of HCSP. Partnership Team that facilitates the partnership funded by various multiagency contributions. The partnership has a budget that is contributed to by all three strategic Partner organisations. HCSP receives circa £100,000 of grant funding pa from OPCC	
		for funding projects.	

4.2	What was the total value of	2020/21: £136,685 from Childrens Social Care and £103,000
	council funding / investment	from Adult Social Care totalling £239,685 from the Council.
	for the financial year?	Note that this is shared with the HSAB and the HSCP.
4.3	What is the nature of the	pooled funding
	council funding?	
	If other please outline.	
4.4	Does the partnership have	yes
	documented financial	
	procedures?	
4.5	Does the partnership produce	no
	an annual statement of	However a budget statement is provided to the HSCP which
	accounts?	identifies the expenditure on the HCSP.
Notos o	Einanco including areas of ricks	

Notes on Finance including areas of risk:

4.4 Council financial procedures are followed as accountable body

5. Conduct and behaviour 5.1 Does the partnership have any yes employees? 5.2 If so are there effective yes employment policies and practices in place? 5.3 Does the partnership have policies and procedures in place to deal with: Standards of conduct yes

Complaints yes Whistleblowing yes Declarations of interest yes Gifts and hospitality

Notes on Conduct and Behaviour including areas of risk:

- 5.1 For clarification the Partnership team support the HCSP function. Partnership team employed by Herefordshire Council.
- 5.2 & 5.3 Strategic partner individual organisations have these policies in place for respective representatives, where these are related to the Partnership Team the Policies and Procedures are those of the Council

6. Liab	6. Liability		
6.1	Are clearly documented rules /	yes	
	exit strategies in place if one		
	partner leaves or is		
	partnership terminated?		
6.2	Is there limit of indemnity on	n/a	
	the partnership liability		
	insurance (if relevant)?		
6.3	Is there a risk of liability on the	yes	
	council for the e.g.		
	accountable body, decision		
	makers, financial liability		
	solely or jointly?		
Natas and Calable to the discourse of state.			

Notes on Liability including areas of risk:

- 6.1 if a representative leaves a replacement will be found by the respective organisation.
- 6.3 as financial accountable body yes

7. Performance, evaluation and value

, .	7. Tollorinalion, craination and rains		
7.	1	Is performance reported to	yes
		the partnership on a regular	
		basis?	

7.2	Does the partnership produce an annual report covering performance?	yes
7.3	Has the partnership defined outcomes that are measured?	yes
7.4	In the past year has there been any inspections, audits or reviews?	no
7.5	Is there a review of value for money e.g. the resources used create the outputs required?	no
7.6	Does the partnership have a process for risk management?	yes
7.7	How often is the risk register reviewed?	approx. once a year
7.8	Key changes and risks within the partnership in 2020/21 months	none
7.9	Outcomes of note in 2020/21 months	 Strategic assessment completed and new 21/24 strategy agreed. NB this took place during covid lockdown so some disruption took place but to no risk to the Partnership Restructure of Business Unit to Partnership Team. New Chair of HCSP.
7.10	Key plans for 2021/22	 Implement new strategy Manage OPCC funding Note slight risk in 21/22 with PCC elections May 21 in that an unknown candidate may be elected.
Notes (on Performance, Evaluation and Va	lue including areas of risk:

- 7.2 quarterly Board meetings review performance
- 7.6 partnership Team risk register however requires revisiting

8. Overview assessment completed by Director

Once the self-assessment is completed please use the points below to document the considered risk level.

Directorate or division in Corporate Centre			Childrens and Families
As director or assistant director of the Corpo	As director or assistant director of the Corporate Centre I have reviewed		
the content of the self-assessment the follow	wing risk level.		
Risk level		Any comment	
Purpose and accountability	medium		
Decision making	medium		
Finance	low		
Conduct and behaviour	low		
Liability	low		
Performance, evaluation and review	low		
Overall assessment low			

Date of return	21/05/2021	
Status assessment	fully complete	
Version	2020-21 v1	

Significant partnerships 2020/21

Local Family Justice Board

1. Proj	1. Project outline	
1.1	Name and job title of the link	tbc
	officer within Herefordshire	
	Council (completing this form)	
1.2	Date self assessment	Click or tap to enter a date.
	completed	
1.3*	Name of partnership	Local Family Justice Board
1.4	Directorate	Childrens and Families

Date of return	Click or tap to enter a date.	
Status assessment	Not complete	
Version	2020-21 v1	

Cyber Quarter Limited (Midlands Centre for Cyber Security)

2. Pro	ject outline	
1.1	Name and job title of the link	Roger Allonby
	officer within Herefordshire	
	Council (completing this form)	
1.2	Date self assessment	20/04/2021
	completed	
1.3*	Name of partnership	Cyber Quarter Limited (Midlands Centre for Cyber Security)
1.4	Directorate	Economy and Place
1.5*	Type of partnership: What	incorporated partnership (i.e. a separate and distinct legal
	best describes the status of	entity)
	the partnership?	If other please describe: Joint Venture with University of
	If other please provide details	Wolverhampton
1.6*	Web address for further	Not available yet
	reference	
1.7*	Email address for further	roger.allonby@herefordshire.gov.uk
	information	
	rpose and accountability	
2.1	Are there terms of reference?	yes
2.2	Were the terms of reference	no
	updated in 2020/21?	
2.3	Is the vision, aims and	no
	objectives clearly set out in	
	the terms of reference or	Terms of reference are currently being developed with the
	elsewhere?	aim of being agreed and signed off by 31 July 2021
	If elsewhere where?	
2.4	Is there a partnership	yes
	agreement in place providing	
	legal documentation?	
2.5	Which predominant council	Economy
	priority does the partnership	
	meet?	
2.6	Please list all other	University of Wolverhampton
	organisations involved in the	
	partnership	
2.7	Which council members and	Leader of the Council (Board member of the JV)
	officers are on the	Director Economy and Place (Board member of the JV)
	partnership?	Strategic Capital Finance Manager (observer JV Board)
		Senior Solicitor, Major Projects (observer JV Board)
		Managing Director, Hereford Enterprise Zone (observer JV
2.0	In the plan accompany to all the latest	Board)
2.8	Is it documented what	yes
	authority the partnership has	
	to take decisions and the	
2.0	scope of that authority?	
2.9	Are the roles and	yes
	responsibilities of members clear and documented?	
	clear and documented?	

2.10	Where relevant, who is the	University of Wolverhampton
	accountable body?	
2.11	Where does the partnership report to, and how frequently?	Joint Venture Board meets quarterly
2.12	Any affiliated or subsidiary groups or partnerships? If yes please specify.	no Add free text

Notes on purpose and accountability including areas of risk:

The purpose of the Joint Venture partnership is to develop and oversee the operation of the Midlands Centre for Cyber Security. The Midlands Centre for Cyber Security will provide access to specialist support and facilities to enable the creation and development of cyber security businesses, creating new high value, high tech employment opportunities in the county.

The construction of the building has been completed within budget. Operations within the building have been delayed due to Covid 19 related restrictions.

4. De	4. Decision Making		
3.1	Is there a clear and	yes	
	documented process for		
	decision making?		
3.2	Are the decisions of the	yes	
	partnership recorded?		
3.3	Are decisions of the	no	
	partnership publicised?		
3.4	Are members financially and	no	
	reputational accountable to		
	the decisions made?		

Notes on Decision Making including areas of risk:

5. Fina	5. Finance		
4.1	How is the partnership	£9m development of the centre funded through council loan	
	funded?	to the JV partnership, LEP Local Growth Fund grant, and	
		European Regional Development Fund grant	
4.2	What was the total value of	2020/21: £0 - £3.5m loan made in 2018/19	
	council funding / investment		
	for the financial year?		
4.3	What is the nature of the	capital	
	council funding?		
	If other please outline.	Capital loan	
4.4	Does the partnership have	yes	
	documented financial		
	procedures?		
4.5	Does the partnership produce	yes	
	an annual statement of		
	accounts?		

Notes on Finance including areas of risk:

6. Conduct and behaviour		
5.1	Does the partnership have any	no
	employees?	

5.2	If so are there effective employment policies and	n/a
	practices in place?	
5.3	Does the partnership have policies and procedures in place to deal with:	
	Standards of conduct	no
	Complaints	no
	Whistleblowing	no
	Declarations of interest	yes
	Gifts and hospitality	yes

Notes on Conduct and Behaviour including areas of risk: Policies not yet in place are likely to be drafted/adopted when employment decisions are made. The Declaration of Interests policy, Gifts and Hospitality and Anti Corruption Policies are agreed by the board.

7. Lia	7. Liability	
6.1	Are clearly documented rules /	yes
	exit strategies in place if one	
	partner leaves or is	
	partnership terminated?	
6.2	Is there limit of indemnity on	yes
	the partnership liability	
	insurance (if relevant)?	
6.3	Is there a risk of liability on the	yes
	council for the e.g.	
	accountable body, decision	
	makers, financial liability	
	solely or jointly?	

Notes on Liability including areas of risk: If Cyber Quarter Limited were to fail then the loan made to date would be at risk but as landlord the site and buildings would revert to the Council

Partnership liability is to be borne 50:50

8. Pe	rformance, evaluation and valu	e
7.1	Is performance reported to the partnership on a regular basis?	n/a
7.2	Does the partnership produce an annual report covering performance?	n/a
7.3	Has the partnership defined outcomes that are measured?	yes
7.4	In the past year has there been any inspections, audits or reviews?	no
7.5	Is there a review of value for money e.g. the resources used create the outputs required?	n/a
7.6	Does the partnership have a process for risk management?	yes
7.7	How often is the risk register reviewed?	each quarter

7.8	Key changes and risks within the partnership in 2020/21 months	Impact of Covid 19 on the construction - minimal delay during the first lockdown period as contractor established required health and safety measures.
		Delayed operation of completed facility due to Covid 19 restrictions.
7.9	Outcomes of note in 2020/21 months	Completion of construction of the centre
7.10	Key plans for 2021/22	Commence operations of the centre, led by the University of Wolverhampton.
Notes on Performance, Evaluation and Value including areas of risk:		

9. Overview assessment completed by Director

Once the self-assessment is completed please use the points below to document the considered risk level.

Directorate or division in Corporate Centre			Economy and Place
As director or assistant director of the Corpo	orate Centre I have re	viewed	05/05/2021
the content of the self-assessment the follow	wing risk level.		
Risk level Any co			nment
Purpose and accountability	low		
Decision making	low		
Finance	medium	Given £	3.5m loan
Conduct and behaviour	low		
Liability	medium	Given £	3.5m loan
Performance, evaluation and review	low		
Overall assessment	low		

Date of return	07/05/2021
Status assessment	fully complete
Version	2020-21 v1

Significant partnerships 2020/21

Development Regeneration Partnership - Engie

1. Pro	ject outline	
1.1	Name and job title of the link	Roger Allonby, Head of Economic Development
	officer within Herefordshire	
	Council (completing this form)	
1.2	Date self assessment	21/04/2021
	completed	
1.3*	Name of partnership	Development Regeneration Partnership - Engie
1.4	Directorate	Economy and Place
1.5*	Type of partnership: What	contractual
	best describes the status of	If other please describe:
	the partnership?	
	If other please provide details	
1.6*	Web address for further	N/A
	reference	
1.7*	Email address for further	N/A
_	information	
	pose and accountability	
2.1	Are there terms of reference?	yes
2.2	Were the terms of reference	no
	updated in 2020/21?	
2.3	Is the vision, aims and	yes
	objectives clearly set out in	
	the terms of reference or	
	elsewhere?	
	If elsewhere where?	Overarching Agreement dated 28 June 2018
2.4	Is there a partnership	yes
	agreement in place providing	
	legal documentation?	_
2.5	Which predominant council	Economy
	priority does the partnership	
2.6	meet?	
2.6	Please list all other	
	organisations involved in the partnership	
2.7	Which council members and	The following officers attend the Programme Board;
۷.,	officers are on the	Director Economy and Place
	partnership?	Section 151 Officer
		Senior Solicitor Major Projects
		Head of Economic Development
2.8	Is it documented what	n/a
	authority the partnership has	
	to take decisions and the	
	scope of that authority?	
2.9	Are the roles and	n/a
	responsibilities of members	
	clear and documented?	

2.10	Where relevant, who is the	N/A
	accountable body?	
2.11	Where does the partnership	N/A – following an OJEU compliant procurement, the
	report to, and how	Development Regeneration Partnership provides a call off
	frequently?	route for the council to develop regeneration or housing
		developments. A Programme Board oversees this activity
		with representatives from the council and Engie. It is not
		decision-making governance board, decisions to take forward
		developments are considered by the Cabinet Member in
2.42	A affiliate al an ambaidian.	accordance with standard council processes.
2.12	Any affiliated or subsidiary	no
	groups or partnerships? If yes please specify.	Add free text
Notes o	on purpose and accountability incli	
Notes	on purpose and accountability inch	duing areas of risk.
3. De	cision Making	
3.1	Is there a clear and	n/a
	documented process for	
	decision making?	
3.2	Are the decisions of the	n/a
	partnership recorded?	
3.3	Are decisions of the	n/a
2.4	partnership publicised?	
3.4	Are members financially and	yes
	reputational accountable to	
Notos	the decisions made?	a of winds.
notes c	on Decision Making including areas	S OT TISK.
4. Fin	ance	
4.1	How is the partnership	Any project taken forward through the DRP is subject to a
	funded?	cabinet member decision. There is a specific DRP budget
		allocation in the capital programme, allocated through a
		Cabinet Member decision.
4.2	What was the total value of	2020/21: £ 152,549
	council funding / investment	
4.2	for the financial year?	9.1
4.3	What is the nature of the	capital
	council funding? If other please outline.	Some additional services have funded from revenue
4.4	Does the partnership have	n/a
4.4	documented financial	ily a
	procedures?	
4.5	Does the partnership produce	n/a
	an annual statement of	
	accounts?	
Notes o	on Finance including areas of risk:	
5. Co	nduct and behaviour	
5.1	Does the partnership have any	no
	employees?	
<u> </u>	employees:	1

5.2	If so are there effective	n/a
3.2	employment policies and	11/ 0
	practices in place?	
5.3		les and procedures in place to deal with:
3.3	Standards of conduct	n/a
	Complaints	n/a
	Whistleblowing	n/a
	Declarations of interest	n/a
	Gifts and hospitality	n/a
Notes or	n Conduct and Behaviour including	· ·
Notes of	Technique and Behaviour merdami	5 41 Cu3 01 113K.
6. Liab	ility	
6.1	Are clearly documented rules /	yes
	exit strategies in place if one	
	partner leaves or is	
	partnership terminated?	
6.2	Is there limit of indemnity on	n/a
	the partnership liability	
	insurance (if relevant)?	
6.3	Is there a risk of liability on the	n/a
	council for the e.g.	
	accountable body, decision	
	makers, financial liability	
	solely or jointly?	
	n Liability including areas of risk:	
The cont	tractual arrangement is an non-ex	clusive arrangement rather than a partnership arrangement
	ormance, evaluation and valu	
7.1	Is performance reported to	n/a
	the partnership on a regular	
	basis?	
7.2	Does the partnership produce	n/a
	an annual report covering	
	performance?	
7.3	Has the partnership defined	n/a
	outcomes that are measured?	
7.4	In the past year has there	no
	been any inspections, audits	
7.5	or reviews? Is there a review of value for	n/o
7.5		n/a
	money e.g. the resources used	Each element of work commissioned through the partnership
	create the outputs required?	is reviewed in terms of VFM prior to commencement. There are agreed overhead and profit percentages agreed in the
		Overarching Agreement, which were established through the
		competitive tendering process to secure the partners.
7.6	Does the partnership have a	yes
/.5	process for risk management?	,
7.7	How often is the risk register	monthly On a project by project basis, subject to
'.'	reviewed?	project being in development/ delivery.
7.8	Key changes and risks within	N/A no regen projects have been brought forward through
	the partnership in 2020/21	the DRP in 2020/21
	months	,
<u> </u>	1	<u>I</u>

7.9	Outcomes of note in 2020/21 months	 Engie have been commissioned to support the council's proposed development of affordable housing, including; Review of options for developing council owned housing Technical review of council owned land to consider if appropriate affordable housing development Feasibility study for the development of affordable housing on the station approach sites in Hereford. 	
7.10	Key plans for 2021/22	Continued development of affordable housing	
Notes o	Notes on Performance, Evaluation and Value including areas of risk:		

8. Overview assessment completed by DirectorOnce the self-assessment is completed please use the points below to document the considered risk level.

Directorate or division in Corporate Centre			Economy and Place
As director or assistant director of the Corporate Centre I have reviewed			05/05/2021
the content of the self-assessment the follo	wing risk level.		
Risk level Any			nment
Purpose and accountability	low		
Decision making	low		
Finance	low		
Conduct and behaviour	low		
Liability	low		
Performance, evaluation and review low			
Overall assessment low			

Date of return	07/05/2021	
Status assessment	fully complete	
Version	2020-21 v1	

Significant partnerships 2020/21

Development Regeneration Partnership - Keepmoat

1. Pro	ject outline	
1.1	Name and job title of the link	Roger Allonby, Head of Economic Development
	officer within Herefordshire	
	Council (completing this form)	
1.2	Date self assessment	21/04/2021
	completed	
1.3*	Name of partnership	Development Regeneration Partnership - Keepmoat
1.4	Directorate	Economy and Place
1.5*	Type of partnership: What	contractual
	best describes the status of	If other please describe:
	the partnership?	
	If other please provide details	
1.6*	Web address for further	N/A
	reference	
1.7*	Email address for further	N/A
_	information	
	pose and accountability	
2.1	Are there terms of reference?	yes
2.2	Were the terms of reference	no
	updated in 2020/21?	
2.3	Is the vision, aims and	yes
	objectives clearly set out in	
	the terms of reference or	
	elsewhere?	
	If elsewhere where?	Overarching Agreement (contract) dated 28 June 2018
2.4	Is there a partnership	yes
	agreement in place providing	
2.5	legal documentation?	C
2.5	Which predominant council	Community
	priority does the partnership	
2.6	meet?	
2.0	Please list all other organisations involved in the	
	partnership	
2.7	Which council members and	The following officers attend the Programme Board;
2.,	officers are on the	Director Economy and Place
	partnership?	Section 151 Officer
		Senior Solicitor Major Projects
		Head of Economic Development
2.8	Is it documented what	n/a
	authority the partnership has	
	to take decisions and the	
	scope of that authority?	
2.9	Are the roles and	n/a
	responsibilities of members	
	clear and documented?	

2.10	Where relevant, who is the	N/A
	accountable body?	
2.11	Where does the partnership	N/A – following an OJEU compliant procurement, the
	report to, and how	Development Regeneration Partnership provides a call off
	frequently?	route for the council to develop regeneration or housing
		developments. A Programme Board oversees this activity
		with representatives from the council and Keepmoat. It is
		not decision-making governance board, decisions to take
		forward developments are considered by the Cabinet
		Member in accordance with standard council processes.
2.12	Any affiliated or subsidiary	no
	groups or partnerships?	
	If yes please specify.	
Notes	on purpose and accountability incl	uding areas of risk:
2 D-	aining Benting	
3. De	cision Making Is there a clear and	n/2
3.1	documented process for	n/a
	decision making?	
3.2	Are the decisions of the	n/a
5.2	partnership recorded?	II/a
3.3	Are decisions of the	n/a
3.3	partnership publicised?	11/ a
3.4	Are members financially and	yes
3.4	reputational accountable to	yes
	the decisions made?	
Notes	on Decision Making including area	s of risk:
Notes	on Decision Waking including area.	5 01 115K.
4. Fir	nance	
4.1	How is the partnership	Any project taken forward through the DRP is subject to a
	funded?	Cabinet member decision. There is a specific DRP budget
		allocation in the capital programme, allocated through a
		Cabinet Member decision.
		No market/policy compliant housing schemes are currently
		being taken forward through the DRP agreement with
4.2	What was the total value of	Keepmoat. 2020/21: £ 0
4.4	council funding / investment	2020/21. L 0
	for the financial year?	
4.3	What is the nature of the	capital
٦.٥	council funding?	Capital
	If other please outline.	Some additional services have funded from revenue, or for
	other picase outilitie.	development costs of proposed capital schemes that are no
		longer progressing.
4.4	Does the partnership have	n/a
	documented financial	1.7

procedures?

accounts?

4.5

Does the partnership produce

an annual statement of

Notes on Finance including areas of risk:

n/a

5. Co	nduct and behaviour	
5.1	Does the partnership have any	no
	employees?	
5.2	If so are there effective	n/a
	employment policies and	
	practices in place?	
5.3	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ies and procedures in place to deal with:
	Standards of conduct	n/a
	Complaints	n/a
	Whistleblowing	n/a
	Declarations of interest	n/a
	Gifts and hospitality	n/a
6. Lia	ability	
6.1	Are clearly documented rules /	yes
	exit strategies in place if one	
	partner leaves or is	
	partnership terminated?	
6.2	Is there limit of indemnity on	n/a
	the partnership liability	
	insurance (if relevant)?	
6.3	Is there a risk of liability on the	n/a
	council for the e.g.	
	accountable body, decision	
	makers, financial liability	
	solely or jointly?	

Notes on Liability including areas of risk:

The contractual arrangement is an non-exclusive arrangement rather than a partnership arrangement

7. Pe	rformance, evaluation and valu	e
7.1	Is performance reported to the partnership on a regular basis?	n/a
7.2	Does the partnership produce an annual report covering performance?	n/a
7.3	Has the partnership defined outcomes that are measured?	n/a
7.4	In the past year has there been any inspections, audits or reviews?	no
7.5	Is there a review of value for money e.g. the resources used create the outputs required?	n/a Each element of work commissioned through the partnership is reviewed in terms of VFM prior to commencement. There are agreed overhead and profit percentages agreed in the Overarching Agreement, which were established through the competitive tendering process to secure the partners.
7.6	Does the partnership have a process for risk management?	yes
7.7	How often is the risk register reviewed?	monthly

		On a project by project basis, subject to project being in development/ delivery. No projects currently in development or delivery.
7.8	Key changes and risks within the partnership in 2020/21 months	N/A no market/policy compliant housing projects have been brought forward through the DRP in 2020/21
7.9	Outcomes of note in 2020/21 months	N/A
7.10	Key plans for 2021/22	Review and identify possible pipeline housing projects.
Notes on Performance, Evaluation and Value including areas of risk:		

8. Overview assessment completed by DirectorOnce the self-assessment is completed please use the points below to document the considered risk level.

Directorate or division in Corporate Centre			Economy and Place
As director or assistant director of the Corporate Centre I have reviewed			05/05/2021
the content of the self-assessment the follo	wing risk level.		
Risk level		Any cor	nment
Purpose and accountability	low		
Decision making	low		
Finance	low		
Conduct and behaviour	low		
Liability	medium	taken for While wobliged with the costs in tender partner concern	ects have yet been brward with Keepmoat. We are not contractually to commission work em, they have incurred responding to the OJEU and forming the ship. They have raised his regarding lack of e projects.
Performance, evaluation and review	low		
Overall assessment	medium		

Date of return	07/05/2021	
Status assessment	fully complete	
Version	2020-21 v1	

Significant partnerships 2020/21

Fastershire

1. Pro	oject outline	
1.1	Name and job title of the link	Matt Smith, Operations Manager
	officer within Herefordshire	
	Council (completing this form)	
1.2	Date self assessment	12/04/2021
	completed	
1.3*	Name of partnership	Fastershire
1.4	Directorate	Corporate - Corporate Support
1.5*	Type of partnership: What	non-statutory partnership
	best describes the status of	
	the partnership?	
	If other please provide details	
1.6*	Web address for further	www.fastershire.com
	reference	
1.7*	Email address for further	info@fastershire.com
	information	
	rpose and accountability	
2.1	Are there terms of reference?	yes
2.2	Were the terms of reference	yes
	updated in 2020/21?	
2.3	Is the vision, aims and	yes
	objectives clearly set out in	
	the terms of reference or	
	elsewhere?	
	If elsewhere where?	
2.4	Is there a partnership	yes
	agreement in place providing	
	legal documentation?	
2.5	Which predominant council	Economy
	priority does the partnership	
	meet?	
2.6	Please list all other	Gloucestershire County Council
	organisations involved in the	BDUK (National Government division of DCMS)
	partnership	
2.7	Which council members and	Natalia Silver, Assistant Director Corporate Support
	officers are on the	Members of the Fastershire team to report items
	partnership?	
2.8	Is it documented what	yes
	authority the partnership has	Via formal partnership agreement
	to take decisions and the	
2.0	scope of that authority?	
2.9	Are the roles and	yes
	responsibilities of members	Via formal partnership agreement
2.40	clear and documented?	Handandahina Camailia tha landan dan dan dibandan di
2.10	Where relevant, who is the	Herefordshire Council is the lead partner with each authority
	accountable body?	conducting their own governance in line with their scheme of
		delegation.

2.11	Where does the partnership report to, and how frequently?	Board meeting held quarterly and Joint CE and Leaders meetings when relevant on key point in delivery. Report to each council through its own governance arrangements.
2.12	Any affiliated or subsidiary groups or partnerships?	yes Operational meetings held with suppliers on delivery.
	If yes please specify.	ge and a supplied on a constant

Notes on purpose and accountability including areas of risk: None identified.

3. **Decision Making** 3.1 Is there a clear and yes documented process for Via the board, through each authorities governance, and decision making? each officers' operational authority. 3.2 Are the decisions of the partnership recorded? Via board minutes, governance process and record of officer decisions. 3.3 Are decisions of the yes partnership publicised? Via each authorities governance process 3.4 Are members financially and yes reputational accountable to the decisions made?

Notes on Decision Making including areas of risk: Decision making is based on the two authorities aligning at the same time with the same aims – though a risk this has never had a material impact. However some decision making in based on assurance from BDUK which can create delays in delivery.

4. Fina	4. Finance		
4.1	How is the partnership	Revenue: shared cost from Herefordshire Council and	
	funded?	Gloucestershire County Council.	
		Capital: local and national government funding; EU funding;	
		investment from the private sector.	
4.2	What was the total value of	2020/21: £275k shared revenue budget	
	council funding / investment		
	for the financial year?		
4.3	What is the nature of the	capital and revenue	
	council funding?		
	If other please outline.		
4.4	Does the partnership have	yes	
	documented financial	The partnership uses Herefordshire Council's procedures.	
	procedures?		
4.5	Does the partnership produce	yes	
	an annual statement of	Via Herefordshire Council's statement of accounts.	
	accounts?		

Notes on Finance including areas of risk: Some of the cost on revenue can flex, particularly if there is an additional requirement for legal support however this has to date been managed within the budget which holds a reserve. Payment to suppliers is based on evidenced delivery at milestone points, whilst this is sound business practice it does make it difficult to forecast if deployment alters within planned time scale.

5. Conduct and behaviour		
5.1	Does the partnership have any	yes
	employees?	

5.2	If so are there effective	yes
	employment policies and	
	practices in place?	
5.3	Does the partnership have policies and procedures in place to deal with:	
	Standards of conduct	yes
	Complaints	yes
	Whistleblowing	yes
	Declarations of interest	yes
	Gifts and hospitality	yes

Notes on Conduct and Behaviour including areas of risk: Staff employed by Herefordshire Council.

6. Liability 6.1 Are clearly documented rules / exit strategies in place if one partner leaves or is partnership terminated? 6.2 Is there limit of indemnity on the partnership liability insurance (if relevant)?

council for the e.g.
accountable body, decision
makers, financial liability

Herefordshire Council is the lead authority

yes

Notes on Liability including areas of risk: There is limited risk of liability for the council due to following the council's procedures.

7. Performance, evaluation and value

solely or jointly?

Is there a risk of liability on the

6.3

7.1	Is performance reported to	yes
	the partnership on a regular	
	basis?	
7.2	Does the partnership produce	no
	an annual report covering	Performance is reported quarterly at the board and via
	performance?	Herefordshire Council; half year and end of year report to
		Gloucestershire.
7.3	Has the partnership defined	yes
	outcomes that are measured?	
7.4	In the past year has there	no
	been any inspections, audits	
	or reviews?	
7.5	Is there a review of value for	yes
	money e.g. the resources used	This is via value for money for the new Stage 5 community
	create the outputs required?	schemes – each scheme is assessed for value for money as
		set out in the Broadband Strategy.
7.6	Does the partnership have a	yes
	process for risk management?	Board reports and via Herefordshire Council risk assessment
7.7	How often is the risk register	each quarter
	reviewed?	
7.8	Key changes and risks within	Timescale for delivery by suppliers
	the partnership in 2020/21	Impact on Covid to deliver digital inclusion and business
	months	support programmes

7.9	Outcomes of note in 2020/21 months	Superfast delivery across Herefordshire and Gloucestershire 95.5% (as of March 2021) High level of full-fibre deployment across Herefordshire 31% compared to England of 20% (as of March 2021) Re-launch of the Business Broadband Scheme with second round of EU funding led by Herefordshire Council for the Marches and Gloucestershire LEPs (local enterprise partnerships)
7.10	Key plans for 2021/22	Delivery on Stage 5 community broadband scheme Increase superfast and broadband coverage across the two counties New promotions campaign to raise awareness of broadband coverage, opportunities for businesses, for communities and individual households.

Notes on Performance, Evaluation and Value including areas of risk: performance is greatly affected by the delivery of suppliers which may hit barriers in deployment and could be over optimistic with their timescales (which creates frustration for residents wanting to know delivery time scale which sometimes changes). Suppliers are only paid when they hit a particularly milestone in delivery, therefore financially incentive already in place.

8. Overview assessment completed by Director

Once the self-assessment is completed please use the points below to document the considered risk level.

Directorate or division in Corporate Centre			Corporate - Corporate
			Support
As director or assistant director of the Cor	porate Centre I have re	eviewed	13/04/2021
the content of the self-assessment the foll	owing risk level.		
Risk level		Any cor	nment
Purpose and accountability	low		
Decision making	low		
Finance	low		
Conduct and behaviour	low		
Liability	low		
Performance, evaluation and review	medium	Perform	nance dependent on
		supplie	r delivery
Overall assessment	low		

Date of return	19/04/2021
Status assessment	fully complete
Version	2020-21 v1

Herefordshire Local Nature Partnership

1. Proj	ect outline	
1.1	Name and job title of the link	Ben Boswell
	officer within Herefordshire	Head of Environment, Climate Emergency & Waste
	Council (completing this form)	, , ,
1.2	Date self assessment	05/05/2021
	completed	
1.3*	Name of partnership	Herefordshire Local Nature Partnership
1.4	Directorate	Economy and Place
1.5*	Type of partnership: What	Statutory partnership (required by law)
	best describes the status of	If other please describe:
	the partnership?	
	If other please provide details	
1.6*	Web address for further	N/A
	reference	
1.7*	Email address for further	Bill.Bloxsome@herefordshire.gov.uk /
	information	bboswell@herefordshire.gov.uk
2. Pur	oose and accountability	
2.1	Are there terms of reference?	yes
2.2	Were the terms of reference	no
	updated in 2020/21?	
2.3	Is the vision, aims and	yes
	objectives clearly set out in	
	the terms of reference or	Purpose of the HNLP:
	elsewhere?	To bring organisations from a range of sectors together
	If elsewhere where?	to work at a strategic level, in an integrated way, to
		achieve benefits from and to the county's natural assets.
		To ensure that the natural environment is fully
		considered and valued in local decision-making.
2.4	Is there a partnership	no
	agreement in place providing	
	legal documentation?	
2.5	Which predominant council	Environment
	priority does the partnership	
2.6	meet? Please list all other	- Environment Agency
2.0	organisations involved in the	Environment Agency Natural Factor d
	partnership	Natural England Forestry Commission
	partnership	Forestry Commission Housefoodship Mildlife Touch
		Herefordshire Wildlife Trust
		Historic England Hayafayalakiya Nagadayya
		Herefordshire Meadows
		Herefordshire Rural Hub Tawa Harafandshire
		Farm Herefordshire
		Wye & Usk Foundation
		Dutchy of Cornwall
		Brightspace Foundation
		Herefordshire New Leaf

2.7	Which council members and officers are on the partnership?	 Cabinet Member for Economy, Environment & Skills, Assistant Director for Regulation, Environment & Waste, Head of Environment, Climate Emergency & Waste, Service Manager – Built & Natural Environment HLNP Co-ordinator
2.8	Is it documented what authority the partnership has to take decisions and the scope of that authority?	n/a
2.9	Are the roles and responsibilities of members clear and documented?	no
2.10	Where relevant, who is the accountable body?	N/A
2.11	Where does the partnership report to, and how frequently?	Local Nature Partnerships were a key element of the 2011 Natural Environment White Paper. Whilst initially approved with some initial set up funding from DEFRA I don't believe the LNP is formally accountable or reports to any formal body. The LNP operates as a partnership of networks and key organisations to co-ordinate and work together on
		environmental issues at a strategic level.
2.12	Any affiliated or subsidiary groups or partnerships? If yes please specify.	no Add free text
Notes o	n purpose and accountability incl	uding areas of risk:
3. De	cision Making	
3.1	Is there a clear and documented process for decision making?	n/a
3.2	Are the decisions of the partnership recorded?	n/a
3.3	Are decisions of the partnership publicised?	n/a
3.4	Are members financially and reputational accountable to the decisions made?	n/a
Notes o	n Decision Making including areas	s of risk: The LNP is not a decision making body
4. Fin	ance	
4.1	How is the partnership funded?	The secretariat is undertaken by a dedicated HLNP Facilitator and whilst this was previously funded by HC at a cost of £2k this has been done voluntarily for the past 2years and there is no formal commitment from HC to fund this.
		Attendance is voluntary / in kind support
		Meetings are hosted free of charge by member organisations

4.2	What was the total value of	2020/21: £0 (only officer time for attendance)
	council funding / investment	
	for the financial year?	
4.3	What is the nature of the	inkind
	council funding?	
	If other please outline.	Free text
4.4	Does the partnership have	n/a
	documented financial	
	procedures?	
4.5	Does the partnership produce	n/a
	an annual statement of	
	accounts?	
Notes	on Finance including areas of risk:	
	nduct and behaviour	
5.1	Does the partnership have any	no
	employees?	
5.2	If so are there effective	n/a
	employment policies and	
	practices in place?	
5.3		ies and procedures in place to deal with:
	Standards of conduct	no
	Complaints	no
	Whistleblowing	no
	Declarations of interest	don't know
	Gifts and hospitality	no
Notes of	on Conduct and Behaviour including	g areas of risk:
	bility	
6.1	Are clearly documented rules /	no
	exit strategies in place if one	
	partner leaves or is	
	partnership terminated?	
6.2	Is there limit of indemnity on	n/a
	the partnership liability	
	insurance (if relevant)?	
6.3	Is there a risk of liability on the	n/a
	council for the e.g.	
	accountable body, decision	
	makers, financial liability	
A. .	solely or jointly?	
Notes	on Liability including areas of risk:	
7 De	rformance avaluation and value	•
	rformance, evaluation and valu	
7.1	Is performance reported to	n/a
	the partnership on a regular basis?	
7.2		no
1.2	Does the partnership produce	no
	an annual report covering	
7.2	performance?	200
7.3	Has the partnership defined outcomes that are measured?	no
	outcomes that are measured?	

7.4	In the past year has there	no	
	been any inspections, audits		
	or reviews?		
7.5	Is there a review of value for	no	
	money e.g. the resources used		
	create the outputs required?		
7.6	Does the partnership have a	no	
	process for risk management?		
7.7	How often is the risk register	n/a	
	reviewed?		
7.8	Key changes and risks within	Free text	
	the partnership in 2020/21		
	months		
7.9	Outcomes of note in 2020/21	Free text	
	months		
7.10	Key plans for 2021/22	Free text	
Notes c	Notes on Performance, Evaluation and Value including areas of risk:		
	·		

8. Overview assessment completed by DirectorOnce the self-assessment is completed please use the points below to document the considered risk level.

Directorate or division in Corporate Centre			Economy and Place
As director or assistant director of the Corporate Centre I have reviewed			06/05/2021
the content of the self-assessment the following risk level.			
Risk level		Any comment	
Purpose and accountability	low		
Decision making	low		
Finance	low		
Conduct and behaviour	low		
Liability	low		
Performance, evaluation and review	low		
Overall assessment	low		

Date of return	07/05/2021
Status assessment	fully complete
Version	2020-21 v1

Hereford Towns Fund Board

1. Pro	ject outline		
1.1	Name and job title of the link	Nick Webster, Economic Development Manager	
	officer within Herefordshire		
	Council (completing this form)		
1.2	Date self assessment	04/06/2021	
	completed		
1.3*	Name of partnership	Hereford Towns Fund Board	
1.4	Directorate	Economy and Place	
1.5*	Type of partnership: What	incorporated partnership (i.e. a separate and distinct legal	
	best describes the status of	entity)	
	the partnership?	If other please describe:	
	If other please provide details		
1.6*	Web address for further	www.strongerhereford.co.uk	
	reference		
1.7*	Email address for further	nwebster@herefordshire.gov.uk	
	information		
2. Pur	pose and accountability		
2.1	Are there terms of reference?	yes	
2.2	Were the terms of reference	yes	
	updated in 2020/21?		
2.3	Is the vision, aims and	yes	
	objectives clearly set out in	·	
	the terms of reference or		
	elsewhere?		
	If elsewhere where?		
2.4	Is there a partnership	no	
	agreement in place providing		
	legal documentation?	A partnership agreement is in the process of drafting for	
		sharing with the Board at a forthcoming meeting.	
2.5	Which predominant council	Economy	
	priority does the partnership		
	meet?		
2.6	Please list all other	South Hereford constituency MP	
	organisations involved in the	Marches LEP	
	partnership	Hereford Business Improvement District	
		Hereford City Council	
		Herefordshire Voluntary Organisations Support Services	
		NMiTE	
		Small business representatives from various sectors	
		British Land	
		Welsh Water	
2.7	Which council members and	Cabinet Member Environment, Economy and Skills (Board	
	officers are on the	member)	
	partnership?	Leader of the Council is an observer	
		Economic Development Manager is an advisor	
2.8	Is it documented what	yes	
	authority the partnership has		

to take decisions and the	
scope of that authority?	
	yes
· ·	
-	Herefordshire Council
·	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Government (MHCLG) have oversight of the Towns Fund
The state of the s	programme. No reporting framework has been set up by
frequently?	government at this stage other than the submission
	timescales for the Town Investment Plan and project
A affiliated an autoridian.	business cases.
	no
, , ,	
	Process of the
n purpose and accountability incit	uding areas of risk:
rision Making	
	yes
	, 45
· ·	
•	yes
	7
Are decisions of the	yes
	7
	yes reputational
reputational accountable to	N/A financially
the decisions made?	
n Decision Making including areas	of risk:
ance	
How is the partnership	The partnership has received £202k of capacity funding from
How is the partnership funded?	government (MHCLG) for the specific purpose of drafting a
1	government (MHCLG) for the specific purpose of drafting a Town Investment Plan and project business cases. This
1	government (MHCLG) for the specific purpose of drafting a Town Investment Plan and project business cases. This funding is held by the council and expenditure against the
funded?	government (MHCLG) for the specific purpose of drafting a Town Investment Plan and project business cases. This funding is held by the council and expenditure against the funds is subject to council approval and governance.
funded? What was the total value of	government (MHCLG) for the specific purpose of drafting a Town Investment Plan and project business cases. This funding is held by the council and expenditure against the funds is subject to council approval and governance. 2020/21: Estimated at circa £40,000 of in-kind officer
funded? What was the total value of council funding / investment	government (MHCLG) for the specific purpose of drafting a Town Investment Plan and project business cases. This funding is held by the council and expenditure against the funds is subject to council approval and governance.
funded? What was the total value of council funding / investment for the financial year?	government (MHCLG) for the specific purpose of drafting a Town Investment Plan and project business cases. This funding is held by the council and expenditure against the funds is subject to council approval and governance. 2020/21: Estimated at circa £40,000 of in-kind officer support during the Town Investment Plan drafting stage.
funded? What was the total value of council funding / investment for the financial year? What is the nature of the	government (MHCLG) for the specific purpose of drafting a Town Investment Plan and project business cases. This funding is held by the council and expenditure against the funds is subject to council approval and governance. 2020/21: Estimated at circa £40,000 of in-kind officer
funded? What was the total value of council funding / investment for the financial year? What is the nature of the council funding?	government (MHCLG) for the specific purpose of drafting a Town Investment Plan and project business cases. This funding is held by the council and expenditure against the funds is subject to council approval and governance. 2020/21: Estimated at circa £40,000 of in-kind officer support during the Town Investment Plan drafting stage.
funded? What was the total value of council funding / investment for the financial year? What is the nature of the council funding? If other please outline.	government (MHCLG) for the specific purpose of drafting a Town Investment Plan and project business cases. This funding is held by the council and expenditure against the funds is subject to council approval and governance. 2020/21: Estimated at circa £40,000 of in-kind officer support during the Town Investment Plan drafting stage. inkind
funded? What was the total value of council funding / investment for the financial year? What is the nature of the council funding? If other please outline. Does the partnership have	government (MHCLG) for the specific purpose of drafting a Town Investment Plan and project business cases. This funding is held by the council and expenditure against the funds is subject to council approval and governance. 2020/21: Estimated at circa £40,000 of in-kind officer support during the Town Investment Plan drafting stage. inkind n/a. The council are the accountable body for the capacity
funded? What was the total value of council funding / investment for the financial year? What is the nature of the council funding? If other please outline. Does the partnership have documented financial	government (MHCLG) for the specific purpose of drafting a Town Investment Plan and project business cases. This funding is held by the council and expenditure against the funds is subject to council approval and governance. 2020/21: Estimated at circa £40,000 of in-kind officer support during the Town Investment Plan drafting stage. inkind n/a. The council are the accountable body for the capacity funding and any expenditure goes through the council
funded? What was the total value of council funding / investment for the financial year? What is the nature of the council funding? If other please outline. Does the partnership have documented financial procedures?	government (MHCLG) for the specific purpose of drafting a Town Investment Plan and project business cases. This funding is held by the council and expenditure against the funds is subject to council approval and governance. 2020/21: Estimated at circa £40,000 of in-kind officer support during the Town Investment Plan drafting stage. inkind n/a. The council are the accountable body for the capacity funding and any expenditure goes through the council governance and financial procedure rules.
funded? What was the total value of council funding / investment for the financial year? What is the nature of the council funding? If other please outline. Does the partnership have documented financial procedures? Does the partnership produce	government (MHCLG) for the specific purpose of drafting a Town Investment Plan and project business cases. This funding is held by the council and expenditure against the funds is subject to council approval and governance. 2020/21: Estimated at circa £40,000 of in-kind officer support during the Town Investment Plan drafting stage. inkind n/a. The council are the accountable body for the capacity funding and any expenditure goes through the council
funded? What was the total value of council funding / investment for the financial year? What is the nature of the council funding? If other please outline. Does the partnership have documented financial procedures?	government (MHCLG) for the specific purpose of drafting a Town Investment Plan and project business cases. This funding is held by the council and expenditure against the funds is subject to council approval and governance. 2020/21: Estimated at circa £40,000 of in-kind officer support during the Town Investment Plan drafting stage. inkind n/a. The council are the accountable body for the capacity funding and any expenditure goes through the council governance and financial procedure rules.
	partnership publicised? Are members financially and reputational accountable to the decisions made? n Decision Making including areas

5. Cor	duct and behaviour	
5.1	Does the partnership have any	no
5.1	employees?	
5.2	If so are there effective	n/a
J.Z	employment policies and	iya
	practices in place?	
5.3		iss and presedures in place to deal with
5.5	Standards of conduct	ies and procedures in place to deal with:
		no
	Complaints	no
	Whistleblowing	no
	Declarations of interest	yes
	Gifts and hospitality	yes
Notes o	n Conduct and Behaviour includinរុ	g areas of risk:
C Lieb	-:!:4.,	
	pility	
6.1	Are clearly documented rules /	no
	exit strategies in place if one	
	partner leaves or is	
	partnership terminated?	,
6.2	Is there limit of indemnity on	n/a
	the partnership liability	
	insurance (if relevant)?	
6.3	Is there a risk of liability on the	no
	council for the e.g.	
	accountable body, decision	
	makers, financial liability	
	solely or jointly?	
Notes o	n Liability including areas of risk:	
7. Per	formance, evaluation and valu	e
7.1	Is performance reported to	n/a
	the partnership on a regular	
	basis?	
7.2	Does the partnership produce	n/a
	an annual report covering	
	performance?	
7.3	Has the partnership defined	no
	outcomes that are measured?	
7.4	In the past year has there	no
	been any inspections, audits	
	or reviews?	
7.5	Is there a review of value for	no
	money e.g. the resources used	
	create the outputs required?	
7.6	Does the partnership have a	no
-	process for risk management?	
7.7	How often is the risk register	n/a
,	reviewed?	
7.8	Key changes and risks within	The Towns Fund Board was established in summer 2020 with
, .5	the partnership in 2020/21	the specific purpose of drafting a Town Investment Plan and
	months	gaining a Town Deal for Hereford. Formation of the Board
	months	was a stipulation of government as was broad membership
		and representation.
		and representation.

7.9	Outcomes of note in 2020/21 months	The Hereford Towns Fund Board completed the drafting of and submitted a Towns Investment Plan to government by the deadline of end January 2021. The next phase of the process involves government issuing Hereford with heads of Terms, these are likely to be received in late May / early June and until received there is little further work required of the Board.	
7.10	Key plans for 2021/22	Beyond Heads of Terms, the Board and council will need to sign these off with government, agree which projects will be taken forward to business case development, and complete and submit to government the business cases within 12 months of the Heads of Terms being signed.	
Notes o	Notes on Performance, Evaluation and Value including areas of risk:		

8. Overview assessment completed by Director

Once the self-assessment is completed please use the points below to document the considered risk level.

Directorate or division in Corporate Centre			Economy and Place
As director or assistant director of the Corp	orate Centre I have re	viewed	Click or tap to enter a
the content of the self-assessment the follow	owing risk level.		date.
Risk level		Any con	nment
Purpose and accountability	medium		
Decision making	low		
Finance	low		
Conduct and behaviour	low		
Liability	low		
Performance, evaluation and review	low		
Overall assessment	low		

Date of return	07/05/2021
Status assessment	fully complete
Version	2020-21 v1

Herefordshire Safeguarding Adults Board (HSAB)

1. Pro	ject outline	
1.1	Name and job title of the link	Steve Berry - Partnership Manager
	officer within Herefordshire	
	Council (completing this form)	
1.2	Date self assessment	26/04/2021
	completed	
1.3*	Name of partnership	Herefordshire Safeguarding Adults Board (HSAB)
1.4	Directorate	Adults and Communities
1.5*	Type of partnership: What	Statutory partnership (required by law)
	best describes the status of	If other please describe:
	the partnership?	
	If other please provide details	
1.6*	Web address for further	https://herefordshiresafeguardingboards.org.uk/
	reference	
1.7*	Email address for further	anne.bonney@herefordshire.gov.uk or
	information	Stephen.Berry@herefordshire.gov.uk
	pose and accountability	,
2.1	Are there terms of reference?	yes
2.2	Were the terms of reference	yes
	updated in 2020/21?	
2.3	Is the vision, aims and	yes
	objectives clearly set out in	
	the terms of reference or	
	elsewhere?	
	If elsewhere where?	
2.4	Is there a partnership	yes
	agreement in place providing	
	legal documentation?	
2.5	Which predominant council	Community
	priority does the partnership	
	meet?	
2.6	Please list all other	West Mercia Police
	organisations involved in the	Herefordshire Clinical Commissioning Group
	partnership	Wye Valley NHS Trust
		Herefordshire & Worcestershire Health and Care NHS Trust
		Care Quality Commission
		NHS England Area Team
		National Probation Service
		Carers Representative
		Voluntary Sector
		Further/Adult Education
		Housing
2 7	M/biob coursell research and and	Healthwatch Herefordshire
2.7	Which council members and	Director of Adult and Communities
	officers are on the	Assistant Director Adult & Communities
	partnership?	

	-	•
2.8	Is it documented what authority the partnership has to take decisions and the scope of that authority?	yes
2.9	Are the roles and responsibilities of members clear and documented?	yes
2.10	Where relevant, who is the accountable body?	Herefordshire Council
2.11	Where does the partnership report to, and how frequently?	Quarterly Board Meetings and an annual report is submitted to Herefordshire Council
2.12	Any affiliated or subsidiary groups or partnerships? If yes please specify.	The HSAB shares a Joint Case Review Group with the Community Safety Partnership (for Domestic Homicides) and the Safeguarding Children Partnership (Child Safeguarding Practice Reviews). It is also Strategically linked with these two partnerships through their shared strategic themes of domestic abuse and exploitation.

Notes on purpose and accountability including areas of risk:

a. & 2.4 Yes - HSAB Constitution

3. De	3. Decision Making		
3.1	Is there a clear and	yes	
	documented process for		
	decision making?		
3.2	Are the decisions of the	yes	
	partnership recorded?		
3.3	Are decisions of the	yes	
	partnership publicised?		
3.4	Are members financially and	yes	
	reputational accountable to		
	the decisions made?		

Notes on Decision Making including areas of risk:

- 3.3 decisions publicised in annual report

3.4 As H	3.4 As Herefordshire Council accountable body		
4. Fina	4. Finance		
4.1	How is the partnership	The partnership has a budget that is contributed to by all	
	funded?	three strategic Partner organisations. The Independent Chair is financed from this budget.	
4.2	What was the total value of	2020/21: £136,685 from Children's Social Care and £103,000	
	council funding / investment	from Adult Social Care totalling £239,685 from the Council.	
	for the financial year?	Note that this is shared with the HSCP and the HCSP.	
4.3	What is the nature of the	pooled funding	
	council funding?		
	If other please outline.		
4.4	Does the partnership have	yes	
	documented financial		
	procedures?		

4.5	Does the partnership produce	yes
4.5	an annual statement of	yes
	accounts?	
Notes o	on Finance including areas of risk:	
	ow Council procedures as Hereford	Ishire Council accountable body
	as part of annual report HSAB Ann	•
4.5 103	as part of annual report HISAD Ann	dar report 2015-20
5. Co ı	nduct and behaviour	
5.1	Does the partnership have any	yes
	employees?	
5.2	If so are there effective	yes
	employment policies and	
	practices in place?	
5.3	Does the partnership have police	es and procedures in place to deal with:
	Standards of conduct	yes
	Complaints	yes
	Whistleblowing	yes
	Declarations of interest	yes
	Gifts and hospitality	yes
Notes c	on Conduct and Behaviour including	g areas of risk:
		p Team that supports three Partnerships in total
5.2 & 5	.3 Strategic partner individual orga	nisations have these policies in place for respective
•		to the Partnership Team the Policies and Procedures are those
of the C	Council.	
6. Lia	bility	
6.1	Are clearly documented rules /	yes
	exit strategies in place if one	
	partner leaves or is	
	partnership terminated?	
6.2	Is there limit of indemnity on	n/a
	the partnership liability	
	insurance (if relevant)?	
6.3	Is there a risk of liability on the	yes
	council for the e.g.	
	accountable body, decision	
	makers, financial liability	
	solely or jointly?	
	on Liability including areas of risk:	
	ancial liability as accountable body	
	rformance, evaluation and value	
7.1	Is performance reported to	yes
	the partnership on a regular	
7.2	basis?	
7.2	Does the partnership produce	yes
	an annual report covering	
7.2	performance?	l vec
7.3	Has the partnership defined	yes
	A. (4.00 maga 41 - 4 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10	
	outcomes that are measured?	
7.4	In the past year has there	yes
		yes

7.5	Is there a review of value for	no
	money e.g. the resources used	
	create the outputs required?	
7.6	Does the partnership have a	yes
	process for risk management?	
7.7	How often is the risk register	approx. once a year
	reviewed?	
7.8	Key changes and risks within	None
	the partnership in 2020/21	
	months	
7.9	Outcomes of note in 2020/21	'Making Safeguarding Personal' agenda
	months	VARM process
		Hoarding Policy
		Partnership Team restructure
		Development of a new Performance dashboard
	Key plans for 2021/22	HSAB Strategic Plan
	on Performance, Evaluation and Val	lue including areas of risk:

7.4 Internal Partnership case audits

8. Overview assessment completed by Director

Once the self-assessment is completed please use the points below to document the considered risk level.

Directorate or division in Corporate Centre			Adults and
			Communities
As director or assistant director of the Corpo	orate Centre I have re	eviewed	01/06/2021
the content of the self-assessment the follo	wing risk level.		
Risk level		Any cor	nment
Purpose and accountability	low		
Decision making	low		
Finance	low		
Conduct and behaviour	low		
Liability	low		
Performance, evaluation and review	low		
Overall assessment	low		

Date of return	01/06/2021
Status assessment	fully complete
Version	2020-21 v1

Herefordshire Safeguarding Children Partnership

1. Pro	eject outline	
1.1	Name and job title of the link	Steve Berry - Partnership Manager
	officer within Herefordshire	
	Council (completing this form)	
1.2	Date self assessment	26/04/2021
	completed	
1.3*	Name of partnership	Herefordshire Safeguarding Children Partnership
1.4	Directorate	Childrens and Families
1.5*	Type of partnership: What	non-statutory partnership
	best describes the status of	If other please describe:
	the partnership?	Whilst not statutory it should be considered on a par to a
	If other please provide details	statutory Partnership.
1.6*	Web address for further	https://herefordshiresafeguardingboards.org.uk/
	reference	
1.7*	Email address for further	Stephen.Berry@herefordshire.gov.uk
	information	
	rpose and accountability	
2.1	Are there terms of reference?	yes
2.2	Were the terms of reference	no
	updated in 2020/21?	
2.3	Is the vision, aims and	yes
	objectives clearly set out in	
	the terms of reference or	
	elsewhere?	These are set out in the <u>new SCYPiH arrangements</u> but are
	If elsewhere where?	currently under review by the Partnership
2.4	Is there a partnership	don't know
	agreement in place providing	
	legal documentation?	
2.5	Which predominant council	Community
	priority does the partnership	
2.6	meet?	
2.6	Please list all other	Two other statutory Safeguarding Partners are West Mercia
	organisations involved in the	Police and Herefordshire and Worcestershire Clinical
	partnership	Commissioning Group. Other partners involved in the
		partnership are:
		Wye Valley NHS Trust, Public Health England, West Midlands
		Ambulance NHS Trust, NHS England, Early Years providers,
		H&W Youth Justice Service, H&W Fire and Rescue Service,
		Housing Providers, National Probation Service, Community Rehabilitation Company, CAECASS, Voluntary Sector
2.7	Which council members and	Rehabilitation Company, CAFCASS, Voluntary Sector Safeguarding Partners Board - Director of Children and
2.7	officers are on the	Families often supported by
	partnership?	Assistant Director Childrens Safeguarding Quality and
	particionip.	Improvement
		Subgroups:
	1	

		Partnership Team: Partnership Manager, Partnership Officer x 2, Partnership Support Officer x 2, Partnership Support Assistant.
		Head of Service Safeguarding
		Head of Service for MASH
		Head of Service or Early Help
		Assistant Director for Education Development
		Head of Learning and Achievement
		Public Health Specialist
2.8	Is it documented what	yes
	authority the partnership has	
	to take decisions and the	
	scope of that authority?	
2.9	Are the roles and	yes
	responsibilities of members	
2.10	clear and documented?	Hawafandahina Causail
2.10	Where relevant, who is the	Herefordshire Council
2.11	accountable body? Where does the partnership	The Partnership Reports, once a year, to the National Child
2.11	report to, and how	Safeguarding Review Panel and the What Works Centre for
	frequently?	Childrens Social Care with an Annual Report. The Annual
	requertly:	Report must be widely available. The Partnership also reports
		to the National Child Safeguarding Review Panel when
		undertaking Rapid Reviews and Child Safeguarding Practice
		Reviews. The Safeguarding Children Partnership has an
		Independent Scrutineer who provides a scrutiny role
		throughout the course of the year.
2.12	Any affiliated or subsidiary	yes
	groups or partnerships?	The HSCP shares a Joint Case Review Group with the
	If yes please specify.	Community Safety Partnership (for Domestic
		Homicides) and the Safeguarding Adult Board
		(Safeguarding Adult Reviews). It is also Strategically
		linked with these two partnerships through their shared
		strategic themes of domestic abuse and exploitation.
Notes on	purpose and accountability inclu	
	ndum of understanding	·
3. Deci	sion Making	
3.1	Is there a clear and	yes
	documented process for	
	decision making?	
3.2	Are the decisions of the	yes All meetings are minuted
	partnership recorded?	
3.3	Are decisions of the	no
	partnership publicised?	
3.4	Are members financially and	yes
	reputational accountable to	
	the decisions made?	
	Decision Making including areas	
ine three	e Sareguarding Partners are equa	lly accountable for decision making and providing the budget.

4. Fina	4. Finance			
4.1	How is the partnership funded?	The partnership has a budget that is contributed to by all three strategic Partner organisations. The Independent scrutineer / Chair is financed from this budget		
4.2	What was the total value of council funding / investment for the financial year?	2020/21: £136,685 from Childrens Social Care and £103,000 from Adult Social Care totalling £239,685 from the Council. Note that this is shared with the HSAB and the HCSP.		
4.3	What is the nature of the council funding? If other please outline.	pooled funding		
4.4	Does the partnership have documented financial procedures?	yes		
4.5	Does the partnership produce an annual statement of accounts?	yes		

Notes on Finance including areas of risk:

- a. Partnership follows Herefordshire Council financial procedures
- b. Yes as part of annual report Herefordshire Safeguarding Children Partnership annual report 2019-20

5. Conduct and behaviour

J. CO			
5.1	Does the partnership have any	yes	
	employees?		
5.2	If so are there effective	yes	
	employment policies and		
	practices in place?		
5.3	Does the partnership have policies and procedures in place to deal with:		
	Standards of conduct	yes	
	Complaints	yes	
	Whistleblowing	yes	Council
	Declarations of interest	yes	
	Gifts and hospitality	yes	

Notes on Conduct and Behaviour including areas of risk:

- 5.1 Partnership team employed by Herefordshire Council, and Independent Chair/Independent Scrutineer.
- 5.2 & 5.3 Strategic partner individual organisations have these policies in place for respective representatives, where these are related to the Partnership Team the Policies and Procedures are those of the Council

6. Liab	6. Liability		
6.1	Are clearly documented rules /	yes	
	exit strategies in place if one		
	partner leaves or is		
	partnership terminated?		
6.2	Is there limit of indemnity on	n/a	
	the partnership liability		
	insurance (if relevant)?		
6.3	Is there a risk of liability on the	yes	
	council for the e.g.		
	accountable body, decision		
	makers, and financial liability		
	solely or jointly?		

Notes on Liability including areas of risk:

6.1 if a representative leaves a replacement will be found by the respective organisation.

6.3 As accountable body				
7. Per	7. Performance, evaluation and value			
7.1	Is performance reported to the partnership on a regular basis?	yes		
7.2	Does the partnership produce an annual report covering performance?	yes		
7.3	Has the partnership defined outcomes that are measured?	yes		
7.4	In the past year has there been any inspections, audits or reviews?	yes		
7.5	Is there a review of value for money e.g. the resources used create the outputs required?	no		
7.6	Does the partnership have a process for risk management?	yes		
7.7	How often is the risk register reviewed?	approx. once a year		
7.8	Key changes and risks within the partnership in 2020/21 months	Key risk is financial risk to funding the Partnership Team and activities and the capacity to resource the team to carry out the necessary support for HSCP, HSAB and HCSP.		
7.9	Outcomes of note in 2020/21 months	 Have reviewed and currently re-designing the structure and function of the HSCP Have produced new Professional differences protocol. Launched new Right Help Right Time levels of need. Restructure of Business Unit to Partnership Team. 		
7.10	Key plans for 2021/22	 Deliver against three year plan that is currently being pulled together by Partners. Produce a full calendar of quality and performance related activity. Provide a robust learning offer to multi agency partners. 		

Notes on Performance, Evaluation and Value including areas of risk:

8. Overview assessment completed by Director

Once the self-assessment is completed please use the points below to document the considered risk level.

Directorate or division in Corporate Centre			Childrens and Families
As director or assistant director of the Corporate Centre I have reviewed			21/05/2021
the content of the self-assessment the follo	wing risk level.		
Risk level Any com			nment
Purpose and accountability	medium		
Decision making	low		
Finance	low		
Conduct and behaviour	low		
Liability	low		
Performance, evaluation and review	low		
Overall assessment	low		

^{7.4} There is a dedicated Quality Assurance Group, informed by a data set, risk register and audit programme supported by a multi-agency Performance Group.

Date of return	21/05/2021	
Status assessment	fully complete	
Version	2020-21 v1	

Malvern Hills Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

1. Proje	ect outline	
1.1	Name and job title of the link	Liz Duberley Service Manager for Built and Natural
	officer within Herefordshire	Environment
	Council (completing this form)	James Bisset – Ecology & Arboriculture Officer, Natural
	counter (completing time form)	Environment Team
1.2	Date self assessment	11/05/2021
1.2	completed	11/03/2021
1.3*	Name of partnership	Malvern Hills Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty
1.4	Directorate	Economy and Place
1.5*	Type of partnership: What	Statutory partnership (required by law)
1.5	best describes the status of	If other please describe:
	the partnership?	in other please describe.
	If other please provide details	
1.6*	Web address for further	https://www.malvernhillsaonb.org.uk/
1.0	reference	ittps://www.maiverminisaonb.org.uk/
1.7*	Email address for further	aonb@worcestershire.gov.uk
1.7	information	aorib@worcestersifire.gov.uk
2 D		
2. Purp	ose and accountability Are there terms of reference?	yes
2.2	Were the terms of reference	yes
	updated in 2020/21?	
2.3	Is the vision, aims and	yes
	objectives clearly set out in	
	the terms of reference or	AONB Management Plan (2019-2024)
	elsewhere?	Memorandum of Understanding (2021-2024)
	If elsewhere where?	CRoW Act 2000 Section 84(4)
		Partnership Terms of Reference (updated 2017)
2.4	Is there a partnership	yes
	agreement in place providing	
	legal documentation?	
2.5	Which predominant council	Environment
	priority does the partnership	
	meet?	
2.6	Please list all other	Joint Advisory Committee (JAC):
	organisations involved in the	Local Authority:
	partnership	Worcestershire County Council members x2
		Herefordshire Council members x2
		Malvern Hills District Council member x1
		Forest of Dean District Council (inc Gloucestershire County
		Council) member x1
		Other organications:
		Other organisations: Malvern Hills Trust
		Herefordshire Association of Local Councils
		Worcestershire association of Local Councils
		National Farmers Union
I		Country Land and Business Association

	T	
		Campaign for Protection of Rural England
		Local Access Forum
		Herefordshire & Worcestershire Earth Heritage Trust
		Historic England
		Forestry Commission
		Local Tourism – Visit Herefordshire/Worcestershire
2.7	Which council members and	JAC:
	officers are on the	Cllr Tony Johnson
	partnership?	Cllr Helen l'Anson
		Steering Group:
		James Bisset or Elizabeth Duberley
2.8	Is it documented what	yes
	authority the partnership has	
	to take decisions and the	
	scope of that authority?	
2.9	Are the roles and	yes
	responsibilities of members	
	clear and documented?	
2.10	Where relevant, who is the	Not applicable
	accountable body?	
2.11	Where does the partnership	JAC x3 meetings a year + 1 full annual report to JAC.
	report to, and how	Annual 'return' and funding claim to DEFRA and NRW.
	frequently?	Annual 'update' published publically on website.
		Steering Group 3x normal meetings + other decisions and
		information disseminated as needed.
2.12	Any affiliated or subsidiary	no
	groups or partnerships?	
	If yes please specify.	

Notes on purpose and accountability including areas of risk:

AONB Partnership and Staff Unit discharge core legal obligations of Local Authority partners in respect of statutory designation – Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

3. D e	3. Decision Making		
3.1	Is there a clear and	yes	
	documented process for		
	decision making?		
3.2	Are the decisions of the	yes	
	partnership recorded?		
3.3	Are decisions of the	yes	
	partnership publicised?		
3.4	Are members financially and	no	
	reputational accountable to		
	the decisions made?		
Notes on Decision Making including areas of risk:			

Notes on Decision Making including areas of risk:

4. Fina	4. Finance			
4.1	How is the partnership	Approx 75% DEFRA. 23% partner local authorities. 2% other		
	funded?	external grants and projects.		
4.2	What was the total value of council funding / investment	2020/21: £16,334 (+ officer support resource)		
	for the financial year?			

4.3	What is the nature of the council funding?	grant
	If other please outline.	
4.4	Does the partnership have documented financial procedures?	yes
4.5	Does the partnership produce an annual statement of accounts?	yes

Notes on Finance including areas of risk:

Statement of accounts is part of final funding request to DEFRA

Worcestershire County Council acts as 'host' financial and employing authority on behalf of partnership (other local authorities provide other project support as needed)

5. Con	5. Conduct and behaviour		
5.1	Does the partnership have any	yes	
	employees?		
5.2	If so are there effective	yes	
	employment policies and		
	practices in place?		
5.3	Does the partnership have policies and procedures in place to deal with:		
	Standards of conduct yes		
	Complaints	yes	
	Whistleblowing	yes	
Declarations of interest yes		yes	
	Gifts and hospitality	yes	

Notes on Conduct and Behaviour including areas of risk:

AONB Staff Unit officers are employed by Worcestershire County Council and are compliant with employing authority's policies and requirements.

6. Liability

O. 210 10	o. Liability		
6.1	Are clearly documented rules /	yes	
	exit strategies in place if one		
	partner leaves or is		
	partnership terminated?		
6.2	Is there limit of indemnity on	n/a	
	the partnership liability		
	insurance (if relevant)?		
6.3	Is there a risk of liability on the	yes	
	council for the e.g.		
	accountable body, decision		
	makers, financial liability		
	solely or jointly?		

Notes on Liability including areas of risk:

Agreed MoU provides clarity in respect of partner local authority liability that may occur in additional to normal 'employer' liability as relevant to employees as recorded in section 5 above

7. Performance, evaluation and value

7.1	Is performance reported to	yes	
	the partnership on a regular		
	basis?		

7.2	Does the partnership produce	yes
	an annual report covering	
	performance?	
7.3	Has the partnership defined	yes
	outcomes that are measured?	
7.4	In the past year has there	n/a
	been any inspections, audits	
	or reviews?	
7.5	Is there a review of value for	yes
	money e.g. the resources used	
	create the outputs required?	
7.6	Does the partnership have a	yes
	process for risk management?	
7.7	How often is the risk register	at each meeting
	reviewed?	
7.8	Key changes and risks within	Updated MoU approved by all partner local authorities
	the partnership in 2020/21	
	months	
7.9	Outcomes of note in 2020/21	Updated MoU approved by all partner local authorities.
	months	Continued operations and projects as best possible through
		Covid restrictions.
		Discharged the council's core statutory function in respect of
		WV AONB.
7.10	Key plans for 2021/22	Continuing existing project work.
		Reacting to changing national picture and priorities.
		Continuing to discharge local authority's core statutory
		function in respect of AONBs.
Notes o	on Performance, Evaluation and Va	lue including areas of risk:

8. Overview assessment completed by DirectorOnce the self-assessment is completed please use the points below to document the considered risk level.

Directorate or division in Corporate Centre			Economy and Place
As director or assistant director of the Corpo	orate Centre I have re	viewed	11/05/2021
the content of the self-assessment the follow	wing risk level.		
Risk level Any comment			nment
Purpose and accountability	low		
Decision making	low		
Finance	low		
Conduct and behaviour	low		
Liability	low		
Performance, evaluation and review	low		
Overall assessment	low		

Date of return	11/05/2021	
Status assessment	fully complete	
Version	2020-21 v1	

Marches Local Enterprise Partnership

1. Pro	ject outline	
1.1	Name and job title of the link	Roger Allonby, Head of Economic Development
	officer within Herefordshire	
	Council (completing this form)	
1.2	Date self assessment	21/04/2021
	completed	
1.3*	Name of partnership	Marches Local Enterprise Partnership
1.4	Directorate	Economy and Place
1.5*	Type of partnership: What	incorporated partnership (i.e. a separate and distinct legal
	best describes the status of	entity)
	the partnership?	If other please describe:
	If other please provide details	'
1.6*	Web address for further	The Marches LEP - Marches LEP
	reference	
1.7*	Email address for further	enquiries@marcheslep.org.uk
	information	
2. Pur	pose and accountability	
2.1	Are there terms of reference?	yes
2.2	Were the terms of reference	yes
	updated in 2020/21?	
2.3	Is the vision, aims and	yes
	objectives clearly set out in	
	the terms of reference or	The LEP is a company limited by guarantee – there articles of
	elsewhere?	association set out purpose and role of the company. There
	If elsewhere where?	are ToR for the Board (Governance - Marches LEP)
2.4	Is there a partnership	yes
	agreement in place providing	
	legal documentation?	Company Articles of Association
2.5	Which predominant council	Economy
	priority does the partnership	
	meet?	
2.6	Please list all other	Shropshire Council
	organisations involved in the	Telford and Wrekin Council
	partnership	3 sub-regional business Boards
		Hereford Enterprise Zone
2.7	Which council members and	Leader of the Council (member of the company Board)
	officers are on the	Cabinet Member Environment, Economy and Skills (alternate
	partnership?	board member)
		Director for Economy and Place is an observer
2.8	Is it documented what	yes
	authority the partnership has	
	to take decisions and the	
	scope of that authority?	
2.9	Are the roles and	yes
	responsibilities of members	
	clear and documented?	

2.10	Where relevant, who is the accountable body?	Shropshire Council
2.11		Covernment (MIICIC and DEIC) have eversight and
2.11	Where does the partnership	Government (MHCLG and BEIS) have oversight and monitoring including regular periods of review (quarterly/ six
	report to, and how	
2.42	frequently?	monthly).
2.12	Any affiliated or subsidiary	yes
	groups or partnerships?	Operational sub-group, transport group, energy group, and
	If yes please specify.	Skills Advisory Panel
Notes o	n purpose and accountability inclu	ding areas of risk:
3. De	cision Making	
3.1	Is there a clear and	yes
	documented process for	,
	decision making?	
3.2	Are the decisions of the	yes
3.2	partnership recorded?	763
3.3	Are decisions of the	yes
ر. ی	partnership publicised?	yc3
3.4		l vac
3.4	Are members financially and	yes
	reputational accountable to	
	the decisions made?	
Notes o	on Decision Making including areas	of risk:
4. Fina	ance	
4.1	How is the partnership	Funding from government (via MHCLG and BEIS)
	funded?	
4.2	What was the total value of	2020/21: £30,000 (proportionate Local Authority
	council funding / investment	contribution to the LEP exec function).
	for the financial year?	,
4.3	What is the nature of the	revenue
1.5	council funding?	Tevenue
	If other please outline.	
4.4	Does the partnership have	Voc
4.4	documented financial	yes
4.5	procedures?	
4.5	Does the partnership produce	yes
	an annual statement of	
	accounts?	
Notes o	on Finance including areas of risk:	
5. Co r	nduct and behaviour	
5.1	Does the partnership have any	yes
	employees?	1
5.2	If so are there effective	yes
J.2	employment policies and	,
	practices in place?	
ГЭ		iss and procedures in place to deal with:
5.3		ies and procedures in place to deal with:
	Standards of conduct	yes
	Complaints	yes
	Whistleblowing	yes yes

Notes o	on Conduct and Behaviour including	g areas of risk:
6. Lia l	bility	
6.1	Are clearly documented rules / exit strategies in place if one partner leaves or is	yes
6.2	Is there limit of indemnity on the partnership liability insurance (if relevant)?	yes
6.3	Is there a risk of liability on the council for the e.g. accountable body, decision makers, financial liability solely or jointly?	yes
Notes o	on Liability including areas of risk:	
7. Per	rformance, evaluation and value	e
7.1	Is performance reported to the partnership on a regular basis?	yes
7.2	Does the partnership produce an annual report covering performance?	yes
7.3	Has the partnership defined outcomes that are measured?	yes
7.4	In the past year has there been any inspections, audits or reviews?	yes
7.5	Is there a review of value for money e.g. the resources used create the outputs required?	yes
7.6	Does the partnership have a process for risk management?	yes
7.7	How often is the risk register reviewed?	at each meeting
7.8	Key changes and risks within the partnership in 2020/21 months	Government has announced a national review of Local Enterprise Partnerships, to be concluded in 2021/22.
7.9	Outcomes of note in 2020/21 months	The LEP secured £14m of Get Building Funding to support Covid 19 Recovery - £5.6m for Herefordshire based projects.
		The LEP provided £444K of funding to Herefordshire to support the recovery of the visitor economy.
7.10	Key plans for 2021/22	As above the future role of all LEPs is currently being reviewed and may lead to changes in role and activity.
Notes o	on Performance, Evaluation and Val	

8. Overview assessment completed by DirectorOnce the self-assessment is completed please use the points below to document the considered risk

Directorate or division in Corporate Centre Economy and Place			Economy and Place
As director or assistant director of the Corporate Centre I have reviewed		ave reviewed	05/05/2021
the content of the self-assessment the foll	owing risk level.		
Risk level		Any cor	nment
Purpose and accountability	low		
Decision making	low		
Finance	low		
Conduct and behaviour	low		
Liability	high	their ac (Shrops have a request £3.8m c toward	uncil and the LEP and countable body hire Council) currently dispute in regard to the ced repayment of the of LEP grant received as the South Wye ort Package project.
Performance, evaluation and review	low		
Overall assessment	high	Given t	he above dispute.

Date of return	07/05/2021
Status assessment	fully complete
Version	2020-21 v1

Significant partnerships 2020/21

Midlands Connect

1. Pro	oject outline	
1.1	Name and job title of the link	Victoria Hammond- Senior Transport Planning Officer
	officer within Herefordshire	
	Council (completing this form)	
1.2	Date self-assessment	30/04/2021
	completed	
1.3*	Name of partnership	Midlands Connect
1.4	Directorate	Economy and Place
1.5*	Type of partnership: What	non-statutory partnership
	best describes the status of	If other please describe:
	the partnership?	
	If other please provide details	
1.6*	Web address for further	https://www.midlandsconnect.uk/
	reference	
1.7*	Email address for further	mcadmin@midlandsconnect.uk
	information	
2. Pu	rpose and accountability	
2.1	Are there terms of reference?	yes
2.2	Were the terms of reference	no
	updated in 2020/21?	A review of governance process is ongoing, this includes
	,	reviewing the terms of reference, as noted in the draft
		Annual Business Plan 2021/22.
2.3	Is the vision, aims and	yes
	objectives clearly set out in	,
	the terms of reference or	
	elsewhere?	In the Midlands Connect Strategy (2017) and the Midlands
	If elsewhere where?	Connect Annual Business Plan
2.4	Is there a partnership	no
	agreement in place providing	A draft partner charter has been through the governance
	legal documentation?	process, and the Strategic Board approved the draft at the
		meeting in October 2020.
2.5	Which predominant council	Economy
	priority does the partnership	
	meet?	
2.6	Please list all other	The partnership is coordinated by a secretariat funded by DfT
	organisations involved in the	grant and which operates with the WMCA as its accountable
	partnership	body. Whilst Herefordshire Council is a partner alongside all
		of the other local transport authorities in the midlands
		region it has no financial/legal responsibilities for the MC
		secretariat.
		The elected Leaders from all Midlands Local Transport
		Authorities, and all LEP Chairs across the Midlands are
		members of the Partnership Advisory Board.
		The Strategic Board includes representative elected
		Leaders from LTAs and LEP Chairs, the representatives
		for the West Midlands are currently: Cllr Ian Ward
		(Birmingham) and Cllr Alan White (Staffordshire), Cllr

		Simon Geraghty (Worcestershire); and Mandy Thorn (Marches LEP Chair) and Alun Rogers (Stoke & Staffordshire LEP Chair). • The partnership also includes DfT, Network Rail, Highways England, Birmingham and East Midlands Airports, Chambers of Commerce, HS2 Ltd and the West
		Midlands Rail Executive.The WMCA is the accountable body for MC.
		Details of strategic board and steering group representatives at link below: https://www.midlandsconnect.uk/about-us/the-board/
		ittps://www.iiidiandsconnect.uk/about-us/the-board/
2.7	Which council members and officers are on the partnership?	Cllr David Hitchiner – Leader of the Council (Partnership Advisory Board) Cllr John Harrington - Cabinet Member Infrastructure &
		Transport (Partnership Advisory Board, nominee)
		Victoria Hammond – Senior Transport Planner (Transport Advisory Group lead) Steve Burgess – Head of Transport & Access Services (Transport Advisory Group lead)
		Other officers engage with MC on specific programmes of activity as and when required.
2.8	Is it documented what authority the partnership has to take decisions and the scope of that authority?	yes
2.9	Are the roles and responsibilities of members clear and documented?	yes
2.10	Where relevant, who is the accountable body?	West Midlands Combined Authority (WMCA)
2.11	Where does the partnership	Partnership Advisory Board – 1 x year
	report to, and how	Strategic Board – 4x year
	frequently?	Steering Group – 6 x year
2.12	Any affiliated or subsidiary	Transport Advisory Group – approx. 12 x year
2.12	groups or partnerships?	
	If yes please specify.	Add free text
Notes o	n purpose and accountability incl	
	cision Making	
3.1	Is there a clear and	yes
	documented process for	
3.2	decision making? Are the decisions of the	Ves
3.2	partnership recorded?	yes
3.3	Are decisions of the	no
3.3	partnership publicised?	
L	Language Augusta	

T 6	
_	no
•	
n Decision Making including areas	of risk:
ince	
How is the partnership	Government grant 100%
funded?	
What was the total value of	2020/21: Zero
council funding / investment	
for the financial year?	
What is the nature of the	inkind
council funding?	Officer time as part of Transport Advisory group and
If other please outline.	engagement on Midlands Connect studies.
Does the partnership have	yes
documented financial	
procedures?	
Does the partnership produce	yes
an annual statement of	
accounts?	
r Finance including areas of risk:	
duct and hehaviour	
	yes
	763
	yes
	763
·	ies and procedures in place to deal with:
	yes
Complaints	7-5
	ves
•	yes
Whistleblowing	yes
Whistleblowing Declarations of interest	yes yes
Whistleblowing Declarations of interest Gifts and hospitality	yes yes yes
Whistleblowing Declarations of interest	yes yes yes
Whistleblowing Declarations of interest Gifts and hospitality Conduct and Behaviour including	yes yes yes areas of risk:
Whistleblowing Declarations of interest Gifts and hospitality Conduct and Behaviour including	yes yes yes yes g areas of risk: d procedures of its accountable body, the WMCA. MC is
Whistleblowing Declarations of interest Gifts and hospitality Conduct and Behaviour including erally works within the policies and	yes yes yes yes g areas of risk: d procedures of its accountable body, the WMCA. MC is constitution which would include the policies listed above, this
Whistleblowing Declarations of interest Gifts and hospitality Conduct and Behaviour including erally works within the policies and ring the development of its own conced in the Annual Business Plan 2	yes yes yes yes g areas of risk: d procedures of its accountable body, the WMCA. MC is onstitution which would include the policies listed above, this
Whistleblowing Declarations of interest Gifts and hospitality Conduct and Behaviour including erally works within the policies and ring the development of its own conced in the Annual Business Plan 2	yes yes yes g areas of risk: d procedures of its accountable body, the WMCA. MC is onstitution which would include the policies listed above, this 2021/22 final draft.
Whistleblowing Declarations of interest Gifts and hospitality Conduct and Behaviour including erally works within the policies and ring the development of its own conced in the Annual Business Plan 2 cility Are clearly documented rules /	yes yes yes yes g areas of risk: d procedures of its accountable body, the WMCA. MC is constitution which would include the policies listed above, this
Whistleblowing Declarations of interest Gifts and hospitality Conduct and Behaviour including erally works within the policies and ring the development of its own conced in the Annual Business Plan 2 cility Are clearly documented rules / exit strategies in place if one	yes yes yes g areas of risk: d procedures of its accountable body, the WMCA. MC is onstitution which would include the policies listed above, this 2021/22 final draft.
Whistleblowing Declarations of interest Gifts and hospitality Conduct and Behaviour including erally works within the policies and ring the development of its own conced in the Annual Business Plan 2 cility Are clearly documented rules / exit strategies in place if one partner leaves or is	yes yes yes g areas of risk: d procedures of its accountable body, the WMCA. MC is onstitution which would include the policies listed above, this 2021/22 final draft.
Whistleblowing Declarations of interest Gifts and hospitality Conduct and Behaviour including erally works within the policies and ring the development of its own conced in the Annual Business Plan 2 cility Are clearly documented rules / exit strategies in place if one partner leaves or is partnership terminated?	yes yes yes g areas of risk: d procedures of its accountable body, the WMCA. MC is onstitution which would include the policies listed above, this 2021/22 final draft. yes
Whistleblowing Declarations of interest Gifts and hospitality Conduct and Behaviour including erally works within the policies and ring the development of its own conced in the Annual Business Plan 2 cility Are clearly documented rules / exit strategies in place if one partner leaves or is partnership terminated? Is there limit of indemnity on	yes yes yes g areas of risk: d procedures of its accountable body, the WMCA. MC is onstitution which would include the policies listed above, this 2021/22 final draft.
Whistleblowing Declarations of interest Gifts and hospitality Conduct and Behaviour including erally works within the policies and ring the development of its own conced in the Annual Business Plan 2 illity Are clearly documented rules / exit strategies in place if one partner leaves or is partnership terminated? Is there limit of indemnity on the partnership liability	yes yes yes gareas of risk: d procedures of its accountable body, the WMCA. MC is onstitution which would include the policies listed above, this 2021/22 final draft. yes
Whistleblowing Declarations of interest Gifts and hospitality Conduct and Behaviour including erally works within the policies and ring the development of its own conced in the Annual Business Plan 2 cility Are clearly documented rules / exit strategies in place if one partner leaves or is partnership terminated? Is there limit of indemnity on	yes yes yes g areas of risk: d procedures of its accountable body, the WMCA. MC is onstitution which would include the policies listed above, this 2021/22 final draft. yes
	funded? What was the total value of council funding / investment for the financial year? What is the nature of the council funding? If other please outline. Does the partnership have documented financial procedures? Does the partnership produce an annual statement of accounts? In Finance including areas of risk: In Council of the council of t

council for the e.g. accountable body, decision

makers, financial liability

solely or jointly?

7. Pe	7. Performance, evaluation and value		
7.1	Is performance reported to the partnership on a regular basis?	yes Performance reports received and considered by the Midlands Connect Steering group	
7.2	Does the partnership produce an annual report covering performance?	yes	
7.3	Has the partnership defined outcomes that are measured?	yes	
7.4	In the past year has there been any inspections, audits or reviews?	yes MC provides it's sponsor (DfT) with monthly reports which are discussed in detail at regular meetings 6 times per year; and MC sits within the WMCA as the accountable body.	
7.5	Is there a review of value for money e.g. the resources used create the outputs required?	yes See 7.4	
7.6	Does the partnership have a process for risk management?	yes	
7.7	How often is the risk register reviewed?	monthly	
7.8	Key changes and risks within the partnership in 2020/21 months	Key changes and risks are captured in the 2021/22 Annual Business Plan final draft	
7.9	Outcomes of note in 2020/21 months	These are summarised on pages 8-11 in the 2021/22 Annual Business Plan final draft	
7.10	Key plans for 2021/22	These are summarised on pages 14-27 in the 2021/22 Annua Business Plan final draft	

8. Overview assessment completed by Director

Once the self-assessment is completed please use the points below to document the considered risk level

Directorate or division in Corporate Centre			Economy and Place
As director or assistant director of the Corporate Centre I have reviewed			06/05/2021
the content of the self-assessment the following risk level.			
Risk level		Any con	nment
Purpose and accountability	low		
Decision making low			
Finance low			
Conduct and behaviour low			
Liability	low		
Performance, evaluation and review	low		
Overall assessment	low		

Date of return	07/05/2021
Status assessment	fully complete
Version	2020-21 v1

NMITE/ Herefordshire Council Strategic Working Group

1. Pro	ject outline	
1.1	Name and job title of the link	Roger Allonby, Head of Economic Development
	officer within Herefordshire	
	Council (completing this form)	
1.2	Date self assessment	22/04/2021
	completed	
1.3*	Name of partnership	NMITE/ Herefordshire Council Strategic Working Group
1.4	Directorate	Economy and Place
1.5*	Type of partnership: What	other
	best describes the status of	If other please describe: Strategic working group rather than
	the partnership?	formal partnership
	If other please provide details	
1.6*	Web address for further	N/A
	reference	
1.7*	Email address for further	N/A
	information	
2. Pur	pose and accountability	
2.1	Are there terms of reference?	yes
2.2	Were the terms of reference	yes
	updated in 2020/21?	
2.3	Is the vision, aims and	yes
	objectives clearly set out in	
	the terms of reference or	
	elsewhere?	
	If elsewhere where?	
2.4	Is there a partnership	no
	agreement in place providing	
	legal documentation?	
2.5	Which predominant council	Economy
	priority does the partnership	
	meet?	
2.6	Please list all other	N/A
	organisations involved in the	
	partnership	
2.7	Which council members and	The following attend the monthly strategic working group
	officers are on the	meeting.
	partnership?	Leader of the Council
		Cabinet Member for Finance
		Council Director on rotation
2.0	I to the description of the first	Head of Economic Development
2.8	Is it documented what	n/a
	authority the partnership has	Not a decision-making group
	to take decisions and the	
2.0	scope of that authority?	
2.9	Are the roles and	n/a
	responsibilities of members	
	clear and documented?	

	T	***
2.10	Where relevant, who is the	N/A
2 1 1	accountable body?	N/A Council and NIMITE range report heads to their respective
2.11	Where does the partnership	N/A – Council and NMITE reps report back to their respective
	report to, and how	organisations.
2.12	frequently?	
2.12	Any affiliated or subsidiary	no
	groups or partnerships?	
Natara	If yes please specify.	dia a conse of cial :
notes c	on purpose and accountability inclu	laing areas of risk:
3. De	cision Making	
3.1	Is there a clear and	n/a
	documented process for	,
	decision making?	
3.2	Are the decisions of the	n/a
	partnership recorded?	
3.3	Are decisions of the	n/a
	partnership publicised?	
3.4	Are members financially and	n/a
	reputational accountable to	
	the decisions made?	
Notes c	on Decision Making including areas	of risk:
	2 cc.c.ca	
4. Fin	ance	
4.1	How is the partnership	No funding required
	funded?	
4.2	What was the total value of	2020/21: £0 The council is separately the accountable body
4.2	What was the total value of council funding / investment	2020/21: £0 The council is separately the accountable body for government and LEP funded activity.
4.2		
4.2	council funding / investment	
	council funding / investment for the financial year?	for government and LEP funded activity.
	council funding / investment for the financial year? What is the nature of the	for government and LEP funded activity.
	council funding / investment for the financial year? What is the nature of the council funding?	for government and LEP funded activity. Choose an item.
4.3	council funding / investment for the financial year? What is the nature of the council funding? If other please outline.	for government and LEP funded activity. Choose an item. N/A
4.3	council funding / investment for the financial year? What is the nature of the council funding? If other please outline. Does the partnership have	for government and LEP funded activity. Choose an item. N/A
4.3	council funding / investment for the financial year? What is the nature of the council funding? If other please outline. Does the partnership have documented financial	for government and LEP funded activity. Choose an item. N/A
4.3	council funding / investment for the financial year? What is the nature of the council funding? If other please outline. Does the partnership have documented financial procedures?	for government and LEP funded activity. Choose an item. N/A n/a
4.3	council funding / investment for the financial year? What is the nature of the council funding? If other please outline. Does the partnership have documented financial procedures? Does the partnership produce	for government and LEP funded activity. Choose an item. N/A n/a
4.3 4.4 4.5	council funding / investment for the financial year? What is the nature of the council funding? If other please outline. Does the partnership have documented financial procedures? Does the partnership produce an annual statement of	for government and LEP funded activity. Choose an item. N/A n/a
4.3 4.4 4.5 Notes o	council funding / investment for the financial year? What is the nature of the council funding? If other please outline. Does the partnership have documented financial procedures? Does the partnership produce an annual statement of accounts? on Finance including areas of risk:	for government and LEP funded activity. Choose an item. N/A n/a
4.3 4.4 4.5 Notes of	council funding / investment for the financial year? What is the nature of the council funding? If other please outline. Does the partnership have documented financial procedures? Does the partnership produce an annual statement of accounts? on Finance including areas of risk:	for government and LEP funded activity. Choose an item. N/A n/a n/a
4.3 4.4 4.5 Notes o	council funding / investment for the financial year? What is the nature of the council funding? If other please outline. Does the partnership have documented financial procedures? Does the partnership produce an annual statement of accounts? on Finance including areas of risk: Induct and behaviour Does the partnership have any	for government and LEP funded activity. Choose an item. N/A n/a
4.3 4.4 4.5 Notes of 5. Cor 5.1	council funding / investment for the financial year? What is the nature of the council funding? If other please outline. Does the partnership have documented financial procedures? Does the partnership produce an annual statement of accounts? on Finance including areas of risk: Induct and behaviour Does the partnership have any employees?	for government and LEP funded activity. Choose an item. N/A n/a n/a
4.3 4.4 4.5 Notes of	council funding / investment for the financial year? What is the nature of the council funding? If other please outline. Does the partnership have documented financial procedures? Does the partnership produce an annual statement of accounts? on Finance including areas of risk: Induct and behaviour Does the partnership have any employees? If so are there effective	for government and LEP funded activity. Choose an item. N/A n/a n/a
4.3 4.4 4.5 Notes of 5. Cor 5.1	council funding / investment for the financial year? What is the nature of the council funding? If other please outline. Does the partnership have documented financial procedures? Does the partnership produce an annual statement of accounts? on Finance including areas of risk: Induct and behaviour Does the partnership have any employees? If so are there effective employment policies and	for government and LEP funded activity. Choose an item. N/A n/a n/a
4.3 4.4 4.5 Notes of 5. Cor 5.1 5.2	council funding / investment for the financial year? What is the nature of the council funding? If other please outline. Does the partnership have documented financial procedures? Does the partnership produce an annual statement of accounts? on Finance including areas of risk: mduct and behaviour Does the partnership have any employees? If so are there effective employment policies and practices in place?	for government and LEP funded activity. Choose an item. N/A n/a no no
4.3 4.4 4.5 Notes of 5. Cor 5.1	council funding / investment for the financial year? What is the nature of the council funding? If other please outline. Does the partnership have documented financial procedures? Does the partnership produce an annual statement of accounts? on Finance including areas of risk: Induct and behaviour Does the partnership have any employees? If so are there effective employment policies and practices in place? Does the partnership have policies and practices in place?	for government and LEP funded activity. Choose an item. N/A n/a no n/a ies and procedures in place to deal with:
4.3 4.4 4.5 Notes of 5.1 5.2	council funding / investment for the financial year? What is the nature of the council funding? If other please outline. Does the partnership have documented financial procedures? Does the partnership produce an annual statement of accounts? on Finance including areas of risk: mduct and behaviour Does the partnership have any employees? If so are there effective employment policies and practices in place?	for government and LEP funded activity. Choose an item. N/A n/a no no

n/a

n/a

n/a

Whistleblowing

Declarations of interest

Gifts and hospitality

Notes C	on Conduct and Behaviour including	z dieds of fisk.
6. Lia	bility	
6.1	Are clearly documented rules / exit strategies in place if one partner leaves or is partnership terminated?	n/a
6.2	Is there limit of indemnity on the partnership liability insurance (if relevant)?	n/a
6.3	Is there a risk of liability on the council for the e.g. accountable body, decision makers, financial liability solely or jointly?	n/a
Notes c	on Liability including areas of risk:	
7. Per	rformance, evaluation and value	e
7.1	Is performance reported to the partnership on a regular basis?	n/a
7.2	Does the partnership produce an annual report covering performance?	n/a
7.3	Has the partnership defined outcomes that are measured?	n/a
7.4	In the past year has there been any inspections, audits or reviews?	n/a
7.5	Is there a review of value for money e.g. the resources used create the outputs required?	n/a
7.6	Does the partnership have a process for risk management?	n/a
7.7	How often is the risk register reviewed?	n/a
7.8	Key changes and risks within the partnership in 2020/21 months	Following validation as a Higher Education Institute in 2020, NMITE seeking to recruit first year of students for September 2021.
7.9	Outcomes of note in 2020/21 months	NMITE achieving validation.
7.10	Key plans for 2021/22	Considering infrastructure and environment required to attract students and to live and study.

8. Overview assessment completed by Director

Once the self-assessment is completed please use the points below to document the considered risk level.

Directorate or division in Corporate Centre			Economy and Place
As director or assistant director of the Corporate Centre I have reviewed			05/05/2021
the content of the self-assessment the following risk level.			
Risk level		Any cor	nment
Purpose and accountability	low		
Decision making	low		
Finance	low		
Conduct and behaviour	low		
Liability	low	body fo	ncil are the accountable r £7.2m NMITE's LEP Inding. This is not to clawback.
Performance, evaluation and review	low		
Overall assessment	low		

Date of return	07/05/2021
Status assessment	fully complete
Version	2020-21 v1

Significant partnerships 2020/21

West Mercia Local Resilience Forum (LRF)

1. Pro	ject outline	
1.1	Name and job title of the link	Ian Baker
	officer within Herefordshire	Health, Safety & Resilience Manager
	Council (completing this form)	, ,
1.2	Date self assessment	08/04/2021
	completed	
1.3*	Name of partnership	West Mercia Local Resilience Forum (LRF)
1.4	Directorate	Corporate - People and Organisation Development
1.5*	Type of partnership: What	Statutory partnership (required by law)
	best describes the status of	If other please describe:
	the partnership?	Under the Civil Contingencies Act (2004)
	If other please provide details	
1.6*	Web address for further	https://www.westmercia.police.uk/police-forces/west-
	reference	mercia-police/areas/west-mercia/about-us/about-us/west-
		mercia-local-resilience-forum2/
1.7*	Email address for further	sioned.warrell@westmercia.pnn.police.uk
	information	wmlrfsecretariat@westmercia.pnn.police.uk
	pose and accountability	
2.1	Are there terms of reference?	yes
2.2	Were the terms of reference	no
	updated in 2020/21?	
2.3	Is the vision, aims and	yes
	objectives clearly set out in	
	the terms of reference or	
	elsewhere?	
	If elsewhere where?	ToR will be updated late 2021 due to change of LRF Chair
2.4	Is there a partnership	no
	agreement in place providing	
	legal documentation?	
2.5	Which predominant council	Corporate function
	priority does the partnership	
2.6	meet?	Mark Midles de Augholeus e Carolina
2.6	Please list all other	West Marsia Police
	organisations involved in the	West Mercia Police
	partnership	Hereford and Worcester Fire and Rescue Service
		Shropshire Council
		Telford and Wrekin Council
		Worcestershire County Council
		Environment Agency
		NHS (England and local)
2.7	Adhiah agus all ar as leas a l	Also involved MoD and MHCLG
2.7	Which council members and	Chief Executive and Directors as nominated deputies
	officers are on the	Health, Safety and Resilience Manager
	partnership?	Emergency Planning Officer
		And others are work determines

2.0	In the discourse state of the state of	I
2.8	Is it documented what	yes
	authority the partnership has	
	to take decisions and the	
2.0	scope of that authority?	
2.9	Are the roles and	yes
	responsibilities of members	
	clear and documented?	
2.10	Where relevant, who is the	The Chief Officers Group for the LRF
	accountable body?	
2.11	Where does the partnership	Into the Chief Officers Group. Quarterly
	report to, and how	Also feeds into Central Government via MHCLG and the Civil
	frequently?	Contingencies Secretariat at the Home Office
2.12	Any affiliated or subsidiary	yes
	groups or partnerships?	Task & Finish Groups, Working Groups, National and Regional
	If yes please specify.	working groups etc
Notes o	n purpose and accountability inclu	ding areas of risk:
	ision Making	
3.1	Is there a clear and	yes
	documented process for	
	decision making?	
3.2	Are the decisions of the	yes
	partnership recorded?	
3.3	Are decisions of the	no
	partnership publicised?	
3.4	Are members financially and	yes
	reputational accountable to	
	the decisions made?	
Notes o	n Decision Making including areas	of risk:
4. Fina	ance	
4.1	How is the partnership	Herefordshire Council and LRF members pay a yearly
	funded?	contribution towards the LRF Secretariat
4.2	What was the total value of	2020/21: £3,777.00
	council funding / investment	
	for the financial year?	
4.3	What is the nature of the	pooled funding
	council funding?	
	If other please outline.	
4.4	Does the partnership have	no
	documented financial	
	procedures?	
4.5	Does the partnership produce	no
	an annual statement of	
	accounts?	
Notes o	n Finance including areas of risk:	
	is conducted by West Mercia Police	ce
	nduct and behaviour	
5.1	Does the partnership have any	yes
	employees?	
<u> </u>		I

5.2	If so are there effective	yes		
	employment policies and			
	practices in place?			
5.3	5.3 Does the partnership have policies and procedures in place to deal with:			
	Standards of conduct	yes		
	Complaints	yes		
	Whistleblowing	yes		
	Declarations of interest	yes		
	Gifts and hospitality	yes		
Notes on Conduct and Behaviour including areas of risk:				

Staff are employed by West Mercia Police and therefore fall under their Employment T&Cs

6. Liability 6.1 Are clearly documented rules / n/a exit strategies in place if one partner leaves or is partnership terminated?

6.2 Is there limit of indemnity on n/a the partnership liability insurance (if relevant)? 6.3 Is there a risk of liability on the n/a council for the e.g. accountable body, decision makers, financial liability

solely or jointly? Notes on Liability including areas of risk:

7. Performance, evaluation and value

7. Per	Tormance, evaluation and valu	e
7.1	Is performance reported to the partnership on a regular basis?	yes Reported to Chief Officers Group on a six monthly basis
7.2	Does the partnership produce an annual report covering performance?	no
7.3	Has the partnership defined outcomes that are measured?	no This will start during this year
7.4	In the past year has there been any inspections, audits or reviews?	no
7.5	Is there a review of value for money e.g. the resources used create the outputs required?	n/a This will be done from next year
7.6	Does the partnership have a process for risk management?	yes
7.7	How often is the risk register reviewed?	approx. once a year
7.8	Key changes and risks within the partnership in 2020/21 months	Covid-19 Response
7.9	Outcomes of note in 2020/21 months	Covid-19 Response with concurrent events including flooding, adverse weather
7.10	Key plans for 2021/22	Reviewing the Strategy and the delivery of this.

	Creation of new LRF plans and updates of those already in		
	existence.		
	Key evaluations system being introduced		
Notes on Performance, Evaluation and Value including areas of risk:			

8. Overview assessment completed by Director

Once the self-assessment is completed please use the points below to document the considered risk level.

Directorate or division in Corporate Centre	Corporate - People and Organisation		
	Development		
As director or assistant director of the Corporate Centre I have reviewed			16/04/2021
the content of the self-assessment the follo	wing risk level.		
Risk level Any con			nment
Purpose and accountability	low		
Decision making	low		
Finance	low		
Conduct and behaviour	low		
Liability	low		
Performance, evaluation and review	low		
Overall assessment	low		

Date of return	16/04/2021
Status assessment	fully complete
Version	2020-21 v1

Herefordshire and Worcestershire Integrated Care System

1. Pro	ject outline	
1.1	Name and job title of the link officer within Herefordshire Council (completing this form)	Rebecca Howell-Jones, Acting Director of Public Health
1.2	Date self assessment completed	29/04/2021
1.3*	Name of partnership	Herefordshire and Worcestershire Integrated Care System
1.4	Directorate	Corporate - Corporate Support
1.5*	Type of partnership: What best describes the status of the partnership? If other please provide details	other If other please describe: The legislative changes to make ICS legal entity are set out in the NHS White Paper. The intention is to establish a statutory ICS in each ICS area. These will be made up of an ICS NHS Body and a separate ICS Health and Care Partnership, bringing together the NHS, local government and partners.
1.6*	Web address for further reference	https://www.hacw.nhs.uk/sustainability-and-transformation-partnership
1.7*	Email address for further information	https://herefordshireandworcestershireccg.nhs.uk/contact-us
	rpose and accountability	
2.1	Are there terms of reference?	yes
2.2	Were the terms of reference updated in 2020/21?	yes
2.3	Is the vision, aims and objectives clearly set out in the terms of reference or elsewhere? If elsewhere where?	yes The Long Term Plan forms the basis of the ICS aims and plans. These documents are not yet available publically available as they are in development. Boards that form part of the ICS have Terms of References.
2.4	Is there a partnership agreement in place providing legal documentation?	no
2.5	Which predominant council priority does the partnership meet?	Community
2.6	Please list all other organisations involved in the partnership	Herefordshire Council Herefordshire and Worcestershire CCG Herefordshire Healthwatch Taurus GP Federation West Midlands Ambulance Service NHS Trust Worcestershire Acute Hospitals NHS Trust Worcestershire County Council Worcestershire Health and Care NHS Trust Worcestershire Healthwatch Worcestershire GP federation Wye Valley NHS Trust Representative of Voluntary Organisations (HVOSS)

2.7	Which council members and	ICS Partnership Board
	officers are on the	- Cllr Crockett
	partnership?	- Paul Walker (Chief Executive)
		- Stephen Vickers (Director of Adults and Communities)
		ICS Executive
		- Cllr Bartlett
		- Paul Walker (Chief Executive)
		- Stephen Vickers (Director of Adults and Communities) Transition Board
		- Stephen Vickers (Director of Adults and Communities)
		- Rebecca Howell-Jones (Acting Director of Public Health)
		PCN/locality meetings etc are attended by other Council
		officers as required.
2.8	Is it documented what	n/a
	authority the partnership has	
	to take decisions and the	
	scope of that authority?	
2.9	Are the roles and	yes
	responsibilities of members	
	clear and documented?	
2.10	Where relevant, who is the	Each organisation is accountable for its contribution. This
	accountable body?	includes representative bringing their own authorisation
		limits.
2.11	Where does the partnership	ICS Partnership Board is the top of the pyramid for reporting.
	report to, and how	NHS partners report up to regional structures, local authority
	frequently?	within LA structure.
2.12	Any affiliated or subsidiary	yes
	groups or partnerships?	Intelligence Cell
	If yes please specify.	
Notes	on purpose and accountability incl	uding areas of risk:
3. De	cision Making	
3.1	Is there a clear and	yes
	documented process for	
	decision making?	
3.2	Are the decisions of the	yes
	partnership recorded?	
3.3	Are decisions of the	no
	partnership publicised?	
3.4	Are members financially and	yes
	reputational accountable to	
	the decisions made?	
Notes	on Decision Making including area	s of risk: ICS is operating in shadow form during 21/22. Boards
are est	ablished with membership and de	fined relationships

are established, with membership and defined relationships.

4. Fina	4. Finance				
4.1	How is the partnership	Currently, the partnership is funded as individual			
	funded?	organisation through NHS and local authority routes i.e. no			
		specific partnership funding. Legislation is in process to			
		create statutory bodies.			

4.2	What was the total value of	2020/21: Not applicable. Funding is not specifically invested
7.2	council funding / investment	into the partnership but currently managed by organisations
	for the financial year?	themselves.
4.3	What is the nature of the	revenue
4.5	council funding?	revenue
	If other please outline.	Usual revenue funding for the council's activities which are
	cance produce cammer	associated with this partnership e.g. adult social care, public
		health.
4.4	Does the partnership have	n/a
	documented financial	
	procedures?	
4.5	Does the partnership produce	n/a
	an annual statement of	
	accounts?	
Notes or	n Finance including areas of risk: F	unding is not pooled. Organisations follow their own rules and
procedu	res.	
5. Con	duct and behaviour	
5.1	Does the partnership have any	no
	employees?	
5.2	If so are there effective	n/a
	employment policies and	
	practices in place?	
5.3	Does the partnership have polic	ies and procedures in place to deal with:
	Standards of conduct	n/a
	Complaints	n/a
	Whistleblowing	n/a
	Declarations of interest	n/a
	Gifts and hospitality	n/a
Notes or	ո Conduct and Behaviour includinչ	g areas of risk: Conduct and behaviour policies are in place
within o	rganisations rather than at the pa	rtnership.
6. Liab	ility	
6.1	Are clearly documented rules /	n/a
	exit strategies in place if one	
	partner leaves or is	
	partnership terminated?	
6.2	Is there limit of indemnity on	n/a
	the partnership liability	
	insurance (if relevant)?	
6.3	Is there a risk of liability on the	n/a
	council for the e.g.	
	accountable body, decision	
	makers, financial liability	
	solely or jointly?	
	,	he Council's liabilities are not directly impacted by the
-	hip – liabilities remain as part of t	
	ormance, evaluation and valu	I
7.1	Is performance reported to	yes
	the partnership on a regular	
7.2	basis?	n/a
7.2	Does the partnership produce	n/a
	an annual report covering performance?	
	periormance:	

7.3	Has the partnership defined	yes
	outcomes that are measured?	Currently being finalised.
7.4	In the past year has there	yes
	been any inspections, audits	
	or reviews?	
7.5	Is there a review of value for	no
	money e.g. the resources used	
	create the outputs required?	
7.6	Does the partnership have a	n/a
	process for risk management?	
7.7	How often is the risk register	n/a
	reviewed?	
7.8	Key changes and risks within	During 2020/21 the ICS will be operating in shadow form. It is
	the partnership in 2020/21	anticipated that during this time the relevant legislation will
	months	be passed. The intention is to establish a statutory ICS in
		each ICS area. These will be made up of an ICS NHS Body and
		a separate ICS Health and Care Partnership, bringing
		together the NHS, local government and partners.
7.9	Outcomes of note in 2020/21	An ICS outcomes framework is in development.
	months	
7.10	Key plans for 2021/22	Operate in shadow form and further develop the
		partnership.

Notes on Performance, Evaluation and Value including areas of risk: Reviewed against the ICS maturity framework. Risk is managed through individual organisations. These are areas which the partnership will further develop and refine as it moves through this shadow year.

8. Overview assessment completed by Director

Once the self-assessment is completed please use the points below to document the considered risk level.

Directorate or division in Corporate Centre			Corporate - Public
	Health		
As director or assistant director of the Corpo	rate Centre I have re	viewed	11/05/2021
the content of the self-assessment the follow	ving risk level.		
Risk level		Any con	nment
Purpose and accountability	medium		
Decision making	medium		
Finance	medium		
Conduct and behaviour	low		
Liability	low		
Performance, evaluation and review	low		
Overall assessment	medium	This partnership is strategically	
		importa	nt for Hfds Council and
		the futu	re of health and
		wellbeir	ng of Hfds residents.

Date of return	11/05/2021
Status assessment	fully complete
Version	2020-21 v1

Waste Partnership Joint Working Agreement

1. Project outline		
1.1	Name and job title of the link	Nicola Percival
	officer within Herefordshire	
	Council (completing this form)	
1.2	Date self assessment	27/04/2021
	completed	
1.3*	Name of partnership	Joint Working Agreement
1.4	Directorate	Economy and Place
1.5*	Type of partnership: What	incorporated partnership (i.e. a separate and distinct legal
1.5	best describes the status of	entity)
	the partnership?	If other please describe:
	If other please provide details	'
1.6*	Web address for further	https://www.herefordshire.gov.uk/directory-
	reference	record/2088/joint-municipal-waste-strategy-for-
		herefordshire-and-worcestershire-2004-2034
1.7*	Email address for further	npercival@herefordshire.gov.uk
	information	
2. Pur	oose and accountability	
2.1	Are there terms of reference?	yes
2.2	Were the terms of reference	no
2.2	updated in 2020/21?	
2.3	Is the vision, aims and	Voc
2.3	objectives clearly set out in	yes
	the terms of reference or	
	elsewhere?	
	If elsewhere where?	Joint Working Agreement signed 2014
2.4	Is there a partnership	yes
2.7	agreement in place providing	Yes
	legal documentation?	
2.5	Which predominant council	Environment
2.3	priority does the partnership	2. Trivil of miletic
	meet?	
2.6	Please list all other	N/A
	organisations involved in the	1.47.
	partnership	
2.7	Which council members and	Full council
	officers are on the	Cabinet Member
		,
		_ , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
		·
		& Waste)
2.7	Which council members and	Cabinet Member Joint Waste Advisory Board = Cabinet Member Commissioning Procurement & Assets, Director Economy & Place (formerly Economy, Communities & Place) & Head of Environment Climate Emergency & Waste (formerly Head of Environment & Waste) Joint Review Board = Director Economy & Place (formerly Economy, Communities & Place) & Head of Environment Climate Emergency & Waste (formerly Head of Environment

2.8	Is it documented what	yes	
	authority the partnership has		
	to take decisions and the		
	scope of that authority?		
2.9	Are the roles and	yes	
	responsibilities of members		
	clear and documented?		
2.10	Where relevant, who is the	Add free text	
	accountable body?		
2.11	Where does the partnership	Joint Review Board reports to Joint Waste Advisory Board	
	report to, and how	Meet once every 3 months	
	frequently?		
2.12	Any affiliated or subsidiary	no	
	groups or partnerships?		
	If yes please specify.	Add free text	
Notes	Notes on purpose and accountability including areas of risk:		

Notes on purpose and accountability including areas of risk:

3. Decision Making		
3.1	Is there a clear and	yes
	documented process for	
	decision making?	
3.2	Are the decisions of the	yes
	partnership recorded?	
3.3	Are decisions of the	yes
	partnership publicised?	Key decisions are made by each authority through their own
		Governance process.
3.4	Are members financially and	yes
	reputational accountable to	
	the decisions made?	

Notes on Decision Making including areas of risk:

4. Finance		
4.1	How is the partnership funded?	Revenue funds from Herefordshire Council pay Worcestershire County Council for the management of the waste disposal contract. Each council provides representative officers and has budget for payment of the contract which this partnership oversees
4.2	What was the total value of council funding / investment for the financial year?	2020/21: £194K
4.3	What is the nature of the council funding? If other please outline.	revenue Free text
4.4	Does the partnership have documented financial procedures?	n/a Each partner follows the financial procedure rules of their own LA
4.5	Does the partnership produce an annual statement of accounts?	n/a Each partner has revenue budget therefore production of accounts is part of each councils accounts.

Notes on Finance including areas of risk:

5. Conduct and behaviour

5.1	Does the partnership have any	yes	
	employees?		
5.2	If so are there effective	yes	
	employment policies and	All staff are employed by the respective councils therefore	
	practices in place?	will follow all policies and practices of their own authority	
5.3	Does the partnership have policies and procedures in place to deal with:		
	Standards of conduct	yes	
	Complaints	yes	
	Whistleblowing	yes	
	Declarations of interest	yes	
	Gifts and hospitality	yes	
Notes	Notes on Conduct and Behaviour including areas of risk:		

6. L	6. Liability					
6.1	Are clearly documented rules /	yes				
	exit strategies in place if one					
	partner leaves or is					
	partnership terminated?					
6.2	Is there limit of indemnity on	yes				
	the partnership liability					
	insurance (if relevant)?					
6.3	Is there a risk of liability on the	no				
	council e.g. accountable body,	There is a legal partnership agreement in place				
	decision makers, financial					

decision makers, financial liability solely or jointly?

Notes on Liability including areas of risk:

7. Performance, evaluation and value

7.1	Is performance reported to	yes
	the partnership on a regular	Performance of the waste disposal contractor is reported
	basis?	monthly to the partnership.
7.2	Does the partnership produce	n/a
	an annual report covering	
	performance?	
7.3	Has the partnership defined	no
	outcomes that are measured?	The partnership exists to manage the Waste Disposal PFI
		contract.
7.4	In the past year has there	no
	been any inspections, audits	
	or reviews?	
7.5	Is there a review of value for	no
	money e.g. the resources used	
	create the outputs required?	
7.6	Does the partnership have a	yes
	process for risk management?	
7.7	How often is the risk register	approx. once a year
	reviewed?	
7.8	Key changes and risks within	Decision to extend the waste disposal contract (which would
	the partnership in 2020/21	continue the partnership) or to re-procure (which could
	months	continue the partnership or require amendment to it)
7.9	Outcomes of note in 2020/21	Free text
	months	

7.10	Key plans for 2021/22	Decision to extend the waste disposal contract (which would continue the partnership) or to re-procure (which could continue the partnership or require amendment to it)		
Notes on Performance, Evaluation and Value including areas of risk:				

Once the self-assessment is completed please use the points below to document the considered risk level.

Directorate or division in Corporate Centre	Economy and Place		
As director or assistant director of the Corpo	orate Centre I have re	viewed	30/04/2021
the content of the self-assessment the follow	wing risk level.		
Risk level Any com			nment
Purpose and accountability	medium		
Decision making	medium		
Finance	medium		
Conduct and behaviour	low		
Liability	medium		
Performance, evaluation and review	medium		
Overall assessment	medium		

Date of return	30/04/2021
Status assessment	fully complete
Version	2020-21 v1

Significant partnerships 2020/21

West Mercia Energy

1. Pro	ject outline			
1.1	Name and job title of the link	Ben Boswell		
	officer within Herefordshire Council (completing this form)	Head of Environment, Climate Emergency & Waste		
1.2	Date self assessment completed	05/05/2021		
1.3*	Name of partnership	West Mercia Energy		
1.4	Directorate	Economy and Place		
1.5*	Type of partnership: What best describes the status of the partnership? If other please provide details	other If other please describe: Joint Committee		
1.6*	Web address for further reference	https://councillors.herefordshire.gov.uk/ieListMeetings.asp x?Cld=878&Year=0 https://www.westmerciaenergy.co.uk/		
1.7*	Email address for further information	bboswell@herefordshire.gov.uk		
2. Pur	pose and accountability			
2.1	Are there terms of reference?	yes		
2.2	Were the terms of reference updated in 2020/21?	no		
2.3	Is the vision, aims and objectives clearly set out in the terms of reference or elsewhere? If elsewhere where?	yes West Mercia Energy Joint Agreement		
2.4	Is there a partnership agreement in place providing legal documentation?	yes		
2.5	Which predominant council priority does the partnership meet?	Corporate function		
2.6	Please list all other organisations involved in the partnership	Shropshire Council Telford & Wrekin Council Worcestershire County Council		
2.7	Which council members and officers are on the partnership?	Joint Committee Cabinet Member - Commissioning, Procurement and Assets Cabinet member - finance and corporate services (Supported by Head of Environment, Climate Emergency & Waste) S151 Officers meetings S151 Officer		

		Senior Officers Meeting	
		Director Economy & Place	
		2333, 3	
		Flexible Energy Advisory Panel	
		Head of Environment, Climate Emergency & Waste /	
		Sustainability & Climate Change Manager	
2.8	Is it documented what	yes	
	authority the partnership has		
	to take decisions and the scope		
	of that authority?		
2.9	Are the roles and	yes	
	responsibilities of members		
	clear and documented?		
2.10	Where relevant, who is the	Shropshire Council	
2.11	accountable body?	NAME Is int Committee Dispussible	
2.11	Where does the partnership	WME Joint Committee – Biannually	
	report to, and how frequently?	https://councillors.herefordshire.gov.uk/ieListMeetings.asp x?Cld=878&Year=0	
2.12	Any offiliated or subsidians		
2.12	Any affiliated or subsidiary groups or partnerships?	no	
	If yes please specify.	Add free text	
Notes o	on purpose and accountability includes		
Notes	m purpose and accountability includ	ang areas of risk.	
3. De	cision Making		
3.1	Is there a clear and	yes	
3.1	documented process for	763	
	decision making?		
3.2	Are the decisions of the	yes	
	partnership recorded?		
3.3	Are decisions of the	yes	
	partnership publicised?	,	
3.4	Are members financially and	yes	
	reputational accountable to		
	the decisions made?		
Notes o	on Decision Making including areas	of risk:	
4. Fin	ance		
4.1	How is the partnership funded?	WME operates commercially to public sector organisations	
		as an energy purchasing organisation.	
		WME is funded by its commercial operations.	
4.2	What was the total value of	2020/21: The Council received a dividend payment of	
	council funding / investment	~£247,000 from WME in 2020/21 from the operational	
	for the financial year?	surplus from WME's operations.	
4.3	What is the nature of the	revenue	
	council funding?		
	If other please outline.	Free text – This was revenue income to the Council.	
	Does the partnership have	yes	
4.4	1	yes	
4.4	documented financial procedures?	Yes	

Does the partnership produce	yes
an annual statement of	
accounts?	
on Finance including areas of risk:	
nduct and behaviour	
Does the partnership have any	yes
employees?	
If so are there effective	yes
employment policies and	
·	
	es and procedures in place to deal with:
Standards of conduct	yes
Complaints	yes
Whistleblowing	yes
Declarations of interest	yes
Gifts and hospitality	yes
on Conduct and Behaviour including	areas of risk:
bility	
Are clearly documented rules /	yes
exit strategies in place if one	
partner leaves or is partnership	
terminated?	
Is there limit of indemnity on	yes
	accounts? In Finance including areas of risk: Induct and behaviour Does the partnership have any employees? If so are there effective employment policies and practices in place? Does the partnership have policies standards of conduct Complaints Whistleblowing Declarations of interest Gifts and hospitality On Conduct and Behaviour including bility Are clearly documented rules / exit strategies in place if one partner leaves or is partnership terminated?

Notes on Liability including areas of risk:

jointly?

6.3

the partnership liability insurance (if relevant)?

body, decision makers, financial liability solely or

Is there a risk of liability on the

council for the e.g. accountable

The Council has a financial liability for Herefordshire's share of the WME pension liability and would have a proportional liability for any financial losses incurred by WME.

yes

Work has been undertaken in 2020/21 to consider and document the exit strategy should one partner which to leave the Joint Committee however I am unsure if this has been complete. (I will confirm this asap.)

7. Perf	7. Performance, evaluation and value		
7.1	Is performance reported to the	yes	
	partnership on a regular basis?		
7.2	Does the partnership produce	yes	
	an annual report covering		
	performance?		
7.3	Has the partnership defined	yes	
	outcomes that are measured?		
7.4	In the past year has there been	yes	
	any inspections, audits or		
	reviews?		

7.5	Is there a review of value for	yes
	money e.g. the resources used	
	create the outputs required?	
7.6	Does the partnership have a	yes
	process for risk management?	
7.7	How often is the risk register	at each meeting
	reviewed?	
7.8	Key changes and risks within	Forthcoming decision in 2021/22 on change to distribution
	the partnership in 2020/21	of surplus.
	months	
7.9	Outcomes of note in 2020/21	Good financial performance and continued operational
	months	surplus.
7.10	Key plans for 2021/22	Forthcoming decision in 2021/22 on change to distribution
		of surplus.
Notes o	on Performance, Evaluation and Val	ue including areas of risk:

Once the self-assessment is completed please use the points below to document the considered risk level.

Directorate or division in Corporate Centre	Economy and Place		
As director or assistant director of the Corporate Centre I have reviewed			06/05/2021
the content of the self-assessment the follow	wing risk level.		
Risk level Any co			nment
Purpose and accountability	low		
Decision making	medium		
Finance	medium		
Conduct and behaviour	low		
Liability	medium		
Performance, evaluation and review	medium		
Overall assessment	medium		

Date of return	07/05/2021	
Status assessment	fully complete	
Version	2020-21 v1	

West Midlands Rail partnership

1. Pro	ject outline	
1.1	Name and job title of the link	Victoria Hammond - Senior Transport Planning Officer
	officer within Herefordshire	
	Council (completing this form)	
1.2	Date self assessment	28/04/2021
4.0*	completed	
1.3*	Name of partnership	West Midlands Rail partnership
1.4	Directorate	Economy and Place
1.5*	Type of partnership: What best describes the status of	non-statutory partnership If other please describe:
	the partnership?	if other please describe:
	If other please provide details	
1.6*	Web address for further	https://www.wmre.org.uk/
1.0	reference	nttps.//www.wime.org.uk/
1.7*	Email address for further	Malcolm.Holmes@wmre.org.uk (Malcolm Holmes, Chief
,	information	Executive of WMRE)
2. Pur	pose and accountability	,
2.1	Are there terms of reference?	yes
2.2	Were the terms of reference	yes
	updated in 2020/21?	
2.3	Is the vision, aims and	yes
	objectives clearly set out in	,
	the terms of reference or	
	elsewhere?	
	If elsewhere where?	Add free text
2.4	Is there a partnership	yes
	agreement in place providing	
	legal documentation?	
2.5	Which predominant council	Economy
	priority does the partnership	
2.6	meet? Please list all other	Except with the prior approval of the Members by special
2.0	organisations involved in the	resolution only the following shall be entitled to be admitted
	partnership	as members of the Company:
	parenersinp	(a) the ITA (as an LTA Member);
		(b) Herefordshire Council (as an LTA Member);
		(c) Northamptonshire County Council (as an LTA Member);
		(d) Shropshire Council (as an LTA Member);
		(e) Staffordshire County Council (as an LTA Member);
		(f) Borough of Telford & Wrekin (as an LTA Member);
		(g) Warwickshire County Council (as an LTA Member);
		(h) Worcestershire County Council (as an LTA Member);
		(i) Birmingham City Council (as an Associate Member);
		(j) Coventry City Council (as an Associate Member);
		(k) Dudley Metropolitan Borough Council (as an Associate
		Member);

		(I) Sandwell Metropolitan Borough Council (as an Associate Member);
		(m) Solihull Metropolitan Borough Council (as an Associate
		Member); (n) Walsall Metropolitan Borough Council (as an Associate
		Member); and
2.7	Mile in a constitute and a second	(o) Wolverhampton City Council (as an Associate Member).
2.7	Which council members and officers are on the	Cllr David Hitchiner – Leader of the Council
	partnership?	Cllr John Harrington – Cabinet Member Infrastructure & Transport
	partite ship:	Steve Burgess – Head of Transport & Access Services
		Victoria Hammond – Senior Transport Planning Officer
2.8	Is it documented what	yes
	authority the partnership has	
	to take decisions and the	
	scope of that authority?	
2.9	Are the roles and	yes
	responsibilities of members	
	clear and documented?	
2.10	Where relevant, who is the	West Midlands Rail Ltd (trading as West Midlands Rail
2.11	accountable body?	Executive) The officers from each local outhority on a monthly basis
2.11	Where does the partnership report to, and how	The officers from each local authority on a monthly basis, and to the board of directors on a quarterly basis.
	frequently?	and to the board of directors of a quarterly basis.
2.12	Any affiliated or subsidiary	yes
2.12	groups or partnerships?	yes
	If yes please specify.	Cheshire East and Stoke-on-Trent Councils are affiliate (non-
		voting) members
Notes o	n purpose and accountability incl	uding areas of risk:
	cision Making	
3.1	Is there a clear and	yes
	documented process for	
	decision making?	
3.2	Are the decisions of the	yes
2.2	partnership recorded?	
3.3	Are decisions of the partnership publicised?	yes
3.4	Are members financially and	no
J. 4	reputational accountable to	no
	the decisions made?	
Notes o	n Decision Making including area	s of risk:
	5 0 5.55.5	
4. Fina	ance	
4.1	How is the partnership	Each local authority member contributes approximately
	funded?	£14.2k per annum to the WMRE partnership. This represents
		1.6% of the total partnership income
4.2	What was the total value of	2020/21: £14,200
	council funding / investment	
	for the financial year?	
4.3	What is the nature of the	revenue
	council funding?	

	If other please outline.	Free text
4.4	Does the partnership have	yes
7.7	documented financial	yes
	procedures?	
4.5	Does the partnership produce	yes
1.5	an annual statement of	763
	accounts?	
Notes or	n Finance including areas of risk:	
	g areas error	
5. Con	duct and behaviour	
5.1	Does the partnership have any	yes
	employees?	
5.2	If so are there effective	yes
	employment policies and	
	practices in place?	
5.3	Does the partnership have polici	es and procedures in place to deal with:
	Standards of conduct	yes
	Complaints	yes
	Whistleblowing	yes
	Declarations of interest	yes
	Gifts and hospitality	yes
Notes or	n Conduct and Behaviour including	g areas of risk:
6. Liab	ility	
6.1	Are clearly documented rules /	yes
	exit strategies in place if one	
	partner leaves or is	
	partnership terminated?	
6.2	Is there limit of indemnity on	yes
	the partnership liability	
	insurance (if relevant)?	
6.3	Is there a risk of liability on the	no
	council for the e.g.	
	accountable body, decision	
	makers, financial liability	
Notes	solely or jointly?	l Directors of WMRE would be accountable for decisions made
Notes of	i Liability including areas of risk. D	offectors of wivike would be accountable for decisions made
7. Perf	formance, evaluation and value	e
7.1	Is performance reported to	yes
	the partnership on a regular	
	basis?	
7.2	Does the partnership produce	yes
	an annual report covering	, ·
	performance?	
7.3	Has the partnership defined	yes
	outcomes that are measured?	
7.4	In the past year has there	no
	been any inspections, audits	
	or reviews?	

Is there a review of value for	yes		
money e.g. the resources used			
create the outputs required?			
Does the partnership have a	yes		
process for risk management?			
How often is the risk register	each quarter		
reviewed?			
Key changes and risks within	Decline in rail patronage caused by COVID-19; new chair and		
the partnership in 2020/21	vice chair appointed		
months			
Outcomes of note in 2020/21	Continuation of rail services during pandemic, Restoring Your		
months	Railway bid submission and support, funding package for Rail		
	programme		
Key plans for 2021/22	Enact governance evolution changes, influence specification		
	of Direct Award for West Midlands Trains, commence		
	delivery of Rail Programme, Update Rail Investment Strategy,		
	renegotiate Collaboration Agreement with Department for		
	Transport.		
•			
remormance, Evaluation and Val	ue iliciuuliig areas Of fisk:		
r <u>c c r k t r c r k</u>	money e.g. the resources used create the outputs required? Does the partnership have a process for risk management? How often is the risk register eviewed? Evy changes and risks within the partnership in 2020/21 months Outcomes of note in 2020/21 months		

Once the self-assessment is completed please use the points below to document the considered risk level.

Directorate or division in Corporate Centre			Economy and Place
As director or assistant director of the Corp	oorate Centre I have re	eviewed	06/05/2021
the content of the self-assessment the following	owing risk level.		
Risk level		Any con	nment
Purpose and accountability low			
Decision making low			
Finance low			
Conduct and behaviour low			
Liability	low		
Performance, evaluation and review low			
Overall assessment low			

Date of return	07/05/2021
Status assessment	fully complete
Version	2020-21 v1

Wye Valley Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

1. Pro	ject outline	
1.1	Name and job title of the	Liz Duberley Service Manager for Built and Natural Environment
	link officer within	James Bisset – Ecology & Arboriculture Officer, Natural
	Herefordshire Council	Environment Team
	(completing this form)	
1.2	Date self assessment	11/05/2021
	completed	
1.3*	Name of partnership	Wye Valley Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty
1.4	Directorate	Economy and Place
1.5*	Type of partnership: What	Statutory partnership (required by law)
	best describes the status	If other please describe:
	of the partnership?	
	If other please provide	
1.6*	details Web address for further	https://www.unvoyallovaaph.org.uk/
1.6"	reference	https://www.wyevalleyaonb.org.uk/
1.7*	Email address for further	office@wyevalleyaonb.org.uk
	information	
	pose and accountability	
2.1	Are there terms of reference?	yes
2.2	Were the terms of	yes
	reference updated in	
	2020/21?	
2.3	Is the vision, aims and	yes
	objectives clearly set out in	
	the terms of reference or	AONB Management Plan (2015-2020)
	elsewhere?	Memorandum of Understanding (2021-2024)
	If elsewhere where?	CRoW Act 2000 Section 84(4) and 'Agreement as to the
		establishment and functions of the Wye Valley Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty Joint Advisory Committee' dated
		10th November 2000 and the Variation Agreement dated 14th
		January 2009
2.4	Is there a partnership	yes
	agreement in place	100
	providing legal	
	documentation?	
2.5	Which predominant	Environment
	council priority does the	
	partnership meet?	
2.6	Please list all other	Joint Advisory Committee (JAC)
	organisations involved in	
	the partnership	Local Authority Elected Members With Voting Powers:
		Gloucestershire x2
		Herefordshire x4
		Monmouthshire x4
		Forest of Dean District Cllr Gethyn Davies

		Forest of Dean District Cllr Bruce Hogan
		Totest of Death District Cili Bruce Hogan
		Town/Parish/Community Councils with Voting Powers:
		Gloucestershire Association of Town & Parish Councils x1
		Herefordshire Association Local Councils x1
		One Voice Wales x1
		Co-opted Members with Voting Powers:
		voluntary conservation sector in Gloucestershire x1
		voluntary conservation sector in Herefordshire x1
		voluntary conservation sector in Monmouthshire x1
		Country Land & Business Association x1
		National Farmers Union x1
		Co-opted Members without Voting Powers:
		Wye Valley Society
		River Wye Preservation
		Recreation Sector + Public Rights of Way: Local Tourism sector
		local Wildlife Trusts
		National Farmers Union Wales
		National Farmers Official Wates
		Steering Group (Local Authority Officers)
		Herefordshire Council
		Monmouthshire CC
		Forest of Dean DC
		Gloucestershire CC
		Representation from DEFRA and NRW
2.7	Which council members	JAC:
	and officers are on the	Barry Durkin
	partnership?	Paul Symonds
		Yolande Watson John Hardwick
		JOHN HAI GWICK
		Steering Group:
		James Bisset or Elizabeth Duberley
2.8	Is it documented what	yes
	authority the partnership	
	has to take decisions and	
	the scope of that	
	authority?	
2.9	Are the roles and	yes
	responsibilities of	
	members clear and	
_	documented?	
2.10	Where relevant, who is the	Not applicable
2.11	accountable body?	IAC v2 magatings a veget to 1 full arrows loss of the IAC
2.11	Where does the	JAC x3 meetings a year + 1 full annual report to JAC.
	partnership report to, and	Annual 'return' and funding claim to DEFRA and NRW.
	how frequently?	Annual 'update' published publically on website. Steering Group 3x normal meetings + other decisions and
		information disseminated as needed.
<u> </u>		miormation disseminated as needed.

2.12	Any affiliated or subsidiary groups or partnerships?	yes
	If yes please specify.	Technical Officer Working Party – wider group of officers and staff from 'key' organisations or others relevant to current needs/topics to update AONB Unit on current activities, projects and similar. Supports Steering Group in preparing items for JAC.
Notes on purpose and accountability including areas of risk:		

AONB Partnership and Staff Unit discharge core legal obligations of Local Authority partners in respect of statutory designation – Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

3. De	3. Decision Making		
3.1	Is there a clear and	yes	
	documented process for		
	decision making?		
3.2	Are the decisions of the	yes	
	partnership recorded?		
3.3	Are decisions of the	yes	
	partnership publicised?		
3.4	Are members financially	no	
	and reputational		
	accountable to the		
	decisions made?		

Notes on Decision Making including areas of risk:

4. Fina	Finance		
4.1	How is the partnership funded?	Approx 75% DEFRA-NRW. 23% partner local authorities. 2% other external grants and projects.	
4.2	What was the total value of council funding / investment for the financial year?	2020/21: £23,860 (+ officer and backroom support resource)	
4.3	What is the nature of the council funding? If other please outline.	grant	
4.4	Does the partnership have documented financial procedures?	yes	
4.5	Does the partnership produce an annual statement of accounts?	yes	

Notes on Finance including areas of risk:

Statement of accounts is part of final funding request to DEFRA/NRW

HC acts as 'host' financial authority on behalf of partnership (other local authorities provide other required backroom services)

5. Conduct and behaviour		
5.1	Does the partnership have	yes
	any employees?	
5.2	If so are there effective	yes
	employment policies and	
	practices in place?	

5.3	Does the partnership have policies and procedures in place to deal with:		
	Standards of conduct	yes	
	Complaints	yes	
	Whistleblowing	yes	
	Declarations of interest	yes	
	Gifts and hospitality	yes	

Notes on Conduct and Behaviour including areas of risk:

AONB Staff Unit officers are employed by relevant local authority partners and are compliant with each employing authority's policies and requirements.

6. Liab	6. Liability		
6.1	Are clearly documented rules / exit strategies in place if one partner leaves or is partnership terminated?	yes	
6.2	Is there limit of indemnity on the partnership liability insurance (if relevant)?	n/a	
6.3	Is there a risk of liability on the council for the e.g. accountable body, decision makers, financial liability solely or jointly?	yes	

Notes on Liability including areas of risk:

Agreed MoU provides clarity in respect of partner local authority liability that may occur in additional to normal 'employer' liability as relevant to employees as recorded in section 5 above.

7. Per	formance, evaluation and v	alue
7.1	Is performance reported to the partnership on a regular basis?	yes
7.2	Does the partnership produce an annual report covering performance?	yes
7.3	Has the partnership defined outcomes that are measured?	yes
7.4	In the past year has there been any inspections, audits or reviews?	n/a
7.5	Is there a review of value for money e.g. the resources used create the outputs required?	yes
7.6	Does the partnership have a process for risk management?	yes
7.7	How often is the risk register reviewed?	at each meeting

7.8	Key changes and risks within the partnership in 2020/21 months	Updated MoU approved by all partner local authorities
7.9	Outcomes of note in 2020/21 months	Updated MoU approved by all partner local authorities. Draft Management Plan produced ready for adoption by local authority partners in 2021-22. Continued operations and projects as best possible through Covid restrictions. Discharged the council's core statutory function in respect of WV AONB.
7.10	Key plans for 2021/22	Adoption of new statutory AONB Management Plan (2021-2026). Continuing existing project work. Reacting to changing national picture and priorities. Continuing to discharge local authority's core statutory function in respect of AONBs.
Notes o	n Performance, Evaluation and	d Value including areas of risk:

Once the self-assessment is completed please use the points below to document the considered risk level.

Directorate or division in Corporate Centre			Economy and Place
As director or assistant director of the Corporate Centre I have reviewed			11/05/2021
the content of the self-assessment the following risk level.			
Risk level Any		Any con	nment
Purpose and accountability	low		
Decision making	low		
Finance	low		
Conduct and behaviour	low		
Liability	low		
Performance, evaluation and review	low		
Overall assessment	low		

Date of return	11/05/2021
Status assessment	fully complete
Version	2020-21 v1